



Sedgwick County...
working for you

Sedgwick County

525 North Main Street 3rd
Floor
Wichita, KS 67203

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 15-0377 **Version:** 1 **Name:** DISP 2015-219 Finance 1991-2011
Type: Consent **Status:** Consent Agenda
File created: 5/22/2015 **In control:** Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners
On agenda: 6/10/2015 **Final action:**
Title: A resolution to authorize destruction of Finance accounting records for the period 1991-2011 (DISP 2015-219 Finance).

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Finance

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

A resolution to authorize destruction of Finance accounting records for the period 1991-2011 (DISP 2015-219 Finance).

Recommended Action: Adopt the resolution and direct the Chief Financial Officer and the Records Manager to destroy the records.

Background: This records disposal follows the same model as disposals previously approved by the Commission, and applies the Records Management Policy approved in 1998. Kansas law (K.S.A. 45-404(c)) requires that destructions of County records be authorized by the Board of County Commissioners. Approval at this time would allow Records Management to properly manage the balance of Finance and County records stored at the courthouse records center and at off-site locations.

Records proposed for destruction total 143 boxes and contain Accounting, Purchasing, Risk Management, and Payroll records. Included in this disposal are AP/PO/PV, banking records, timesheets, EMS billing and refunds, payroll reports, accounts receivable records, activity report records, contracts, grant working files, self-insurance claim files/accident reports, and bids/RFQ/RFP. A detail inventory is available, and will be maintained permanently by Records Management as part of the documentation for the destruction case. There are 140 boxes currently stored in the courthouse records center and three boxes at Underground Vaults and Storage.

Analysis: County Records Management Policy is to destroy at the earliest opportunity records identified (1) as eligible under State law, and (2) that lack continuing value adequate to offset costs to retain and make accessible. Custodian for the records proposed for destruction, Chief Financial Officer Chris Chronis, has determined they have no continuing administrative value for operation of Finance. The Chief Financial Officer has also determined that they have no continuing fiscal value for County operations. The Records Manager has confirmed they are eligible for disposal by destruction, consistent with State retention schedules, and finds that they lack identifiable research value adequate to offset costs. WSU Curator Special Collections/University Archivist, Dr. Lorraine Madway

who serves as an independent reviewer for archival value, agrees they lack substantive research value, and concurs in the recommendation to destroy. The County Counselor has confirmed these records no longer are needed to represent the County's legal interests.

Due to privacy concerns and other possible restrictions the Records Manager recommends that these records be destroyed by shredding.

The resolution also would authorize the Chief Financial Officer and the Records Manager to destroy any records or duplicates, regardless of format or media, that may be found in the future that can be identified as any of the series of records included in this disposal and that fall within the time periods or records series listed or previous years.

Alternatives: These records could continue to be retained, either in whole or in part, which would also continue associated costs to store and make accessible.

Financial Considerations: One-time fees for the commercial vendor to destroy are estimated at \$245.00 (\$1.75 per box). Costs for UVS to destroy are \$13.50 (\$4.50 per box) for a total of \$258.50. Finance has identified funds available within 72004-110 sufficient to pay destruction fees.

Legal Considerations: The authority for this action is K.S.A. 45-401 et seq. A simple majority vote is required.

Policy Considerations: The recommended action would apply existing policy.