# Sedgwick County

525 North Main Street 3rd Floor Wichita, KS 67203



Sedgwick County... working for you

# **Meeting Minutes**

Tuesday, January 23, 2018

10:00 AM

SPECIAL MEETING

**BOCC Meeting Room** 

# **Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners**

Pursuant to Resolution #007-2016, adopted by the Board of County Commissioners on January 20, 2016, members of the public are allowed to address the County Commission for a period of time limited to not more than five minutes or such time limits as may become necessary.

Anyone who requires an auxiliary aid or service for effective communication, or a modification of policies or procedures to participate in a program, service, or activity of Sedgwick County, should contact the office of Crissy Magee, Sedgwick County ADA Coordinator, 510 N. Main, Suite 306, Wichita, Kansas 67203. Phone: 316-660-7056, TDD: Kansas Relay at 711 or 800-766-3777

Email:Crissy.Magee@sedgwick.gov, as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the scheduled event. Please include the name, location, date and time of the service or program, your contact information and the type of aid, service, or policy modification needed.

## **ORDER OF BUSINESS**

### CALL MEETING TO ORDER

The Special Meeting of the Board of the County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 10:02 a.m. on JANUARY 23, 2018 in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman David T. Dennis, with the following present: Chair Pro-Tem Commissioner David M. Unruh; Commissioner Michael B. O'Donnell II; Commissioner Richard Ranzau; Commissioner James M. Howell; Mr. Michael Scholes, County Manager; Mr. Thomas Stolz, Deputy County Manager; Mr. Eric Yost, County Counselor; Mr. David Spears, Assistant County Manager of Public Works, Facilities Maintenance, Project Services and County Engineer; Mr. Joe Thomas, Director, Purchasing Department; Ms. Kate Flavin, Public Information Officer; Ms. Lynda Baker, Deputy County Clerk.

### ROLL CALL

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that all Commissioners were present.

Chairman Dennis said, "Next item."

## **APPOINTMENTS**

A <u>18-070</u> ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF BEN SAUCEDA (COMMISSIONER JIM HOWELL'S APPOINTMENT) FROM THE SEDGWICK COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE. Presented by: Eric Yost, County Counselor.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Accept the resignation.

*Mr.* Eric Yost, County Counselor, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Items A and B are both resignations from the Sedgwick County Solid Waste Management Committee. Item A is the resignation of Ben Sauceda who had been Commissioner Howell's appointment. Item B is Mr. Rob Wall who had been in the private solid waste position. Both of them have resigned, and I would, and we don't have their replacements yet, but that's coming in a subsequent week, so I would urge the Commission to accept both of these resignations."

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you Mr. Yost. Commissioner Howell."

Commissioner Howell thanked the Chairman and said, "I wanted to say thank you to Ben Sauceda as my appointment on this Commission. He's done a tremendous job. He has recently become a Councilmember of the City of Park City and recently got married. So it's reasonable at this time that he reevaluates his priorities, and so he did ask to be relieved of his duties on this board. I wanted to say thank you to his service. "So I also talked with some people at Waste Connections about Rob Wall who's been assigned to a new position within their company but out of the area, so that's why he's stepping down, but he did serve with honor."

MOTION

Commissioner Howell moved to accept the resignation.

Commissioner O'Donnell seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner O'Donnell IIAyeCommissioner RanzauAyeCommissioner HowellAyeCommissioner UnruhAyeChairman DennisAyeApprovedAye

B <u>18-071</u> ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF ROB WALL (BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSION APPOINTMENT) FROM THE SEDGWICK COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE IN POSITION #8, RECOMMENED BY PRIVATE SOLID WASTE INDUSTRY. Presented by: Eric Yost, County Counselor.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Accept the resignation.

Action for this item was taken with Item A.

# **NEW BUSINESS**

C <u>18-068</u> REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' REGULAR MEETING ON JANUARY 18, 2018. Presented by: Joe Thomas, Director, Purchasing.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

*Mr.* Joe Thomas, Director of Purchasing Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, "We have one item to present to you this morning that's a result of the meeting of the Board of Bids and Contacts on January 18th."

1. SAP MAINTENANCE RENEWAL - ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING FUNDING -- ENTERPRISE RESOURCE

"The recommendation is to accept the quote from SAP Public Services, Inc., and this is in the amount of \$460,516.17."

*"I'll be happy to try to answer any questions you may have, and I recommend approval of this item."* 

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you, Mr. Thomas. Any questions?"

#### MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved to approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

Commissioner Howell seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

### VOTE

Aye
Aye
Aye
Aye
Aye

Mr. Thomas said, "Thank you, Commissioners."

Chairman Dennis said, "Next item." **Approved** 

### **CONSENT**

*Mr. Mike Scholes, County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Commissioners, I recommend you approve consent agenda items Delta (D) through India (I)."* 

#### MOTION

Commissioner Ranzau moved to approve consent agenda items Delta (D) through India (I).

Commissioner O'Donnell seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

#### VOTE

Commissioner O'Donnell II	Aye
Commissioner Ranzau	Aye
Commissioner Howell	Aye
Commissioner Unruh	Aye
Chairman Dennis	Aye

Chairman Dennis said, "Next item."

*Mr.* Yost said, "I was contacted yesterday by one Commissioner who's had a meeting with a number of people who have asked us to be supportive of something, and we've gotten some language here. I'm going to read what the language is, and I'm going to urge you all to vote on this one way or another, which is that Sedgwick County would support workforce training development and recruitment programs that help fill the advanced manufacturing workforce pipeline. I suppose I could turn that over to

Commissioner Unruh who is more familiar with the meeting that he attended and who all else is supportive."

Chairman Dennis said, "Commissioner Unruh, do you want to address this?"

Commissioner Unruh thanked the Chairman and said, "I was at a workforce meeting last week that in attendance were representatives from Raytheon and Spirit [AeroSystems] and the [Wichita] Chamber [of Commerce] and the City of Wichita and WATC (Wichita Area Technical College) and WSU (Wichita State University) and USD (Unified School District) 259. I don't want to leave anyone out, and Sedgwick County, I represented Sedgwick County, but the whole effort was a discussion about how we, what can we do to help develop the workforce to supply the needs of some of our manufacturing partners in the community.

"Although we, Sedgwick County, has a big investment in that through the National Center for Aviation Training (NCAT), and we are clearly in support of it, and it's not an item on our legislative agenda. I was confronted by that, might be too strong of a word, but it was brought to light in the meeting that everyone else there had this as the highest priority, and we didn't have it on our agenda. I told them that our focus was to have a very tight concise agenda this year that focused on items specifically applicable to county business. But in spite of that, I think we should join our partners in an effort to say that we publically and specifically support workforce training and development and recruitment programs that help fill the advanced manufacturing workforce pipeline.

#### MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved to add the advanced manufacturing workforce pipeline to the legislative agenda of the Sedgwick County Board of County Commissioners.

Commissioner Dennis seconded the motion.

Chairman Dennis said, "I'll open up for discussion. Commissioner Ranzau."

Commissioner Ranzau thanked the Chairman and said, "Well I guess I have some concerns that added this on all of the sudden. I know we wanted to keep our thing tight as you said. We already participate in job training at WATC, spent millions of dollars. Is there a specific bill that we're talking about? I mean, who is this for, how much is it going to cost. I guess I need some more details. I understand the Workforce Alliance [of South Central Kansas] is losing some money from the federal government. I think if that's the issue here, I think we need to be very cautious about trying to fill the void of the federal government.

"You know there's been some studies that talks about receiving grants through the federal government and how it increases spending at the state and local levels. When those grants go away, then there's an urge to fill those which may be problematic considering the state's financial issues, which I think we may need to talk about, but I would like more specifics. I'm not sure this is consistent with our intent to keep a small legislative agenda, particularly since we're already invested millions of dollars and will continue to do so, we supported Senate Bill 155.

*"I think we need some more specifics, a specific bill, amount of money, something. I guess just kind of had this pop up and I'd like some more information. At this point, I don't really think it's necessary for us, but..."* 

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "...if anyone has any more information, I'd like to hear some specifics."

Chairman Dennis said, "Okay. Commissioner Howell."

Commissioner Howell said, "I just think there's a lot of issues that we have not spoken to. We used to make policy position statements on dozens of things that would clarify our position should those topics come up in the legislature, so that our representation there would understand what our position would be. We kind of eliminated that this year with reducing it down to just a few bullet points for items that we feel like are moving in the legislature or would potentially move towards a new law or a new provision in some statute this year. So I'm conflicted as well. I think our bullets are reserved for that type of items to be included on our platform, so we can say these are the things we want to move forward this year.

"In other words, someone could go write the bill, we know exactly what we're talking about and exactly what we're advocating for. This is a very generic statement, and so I guess I'd like to have us reconsider our position and say, in terms of an elevator speech or a business card with some items on it you could hand out to someone, I'd still like to keep it down to the three or four items, but I don't mind necessarily defining policy positions, and I think that's what this is. With the policy positions, it says we support workforce development, and should something come up that somehow enhances workforce development in our community, we'd be very supportive of that. I like that idea. I don't mind having that written down somewhere that we could have it clarified should anybody wonder what our position is.

"But I think it's fine for us to do this knowing that it was specifically requested, and I'll support the motion, but I guess I'd like to see us segregate our comments into two areas. One thing is, I guess the high priorities are what we plan on getting done this year, what are the items we're actually moving forward with legislation, or writing legislation. We're lobbying actively in committees and discussing it at dinner with legislators versus things that we simply have a position stated that we feel a certain way about something should it come up. To me, so this is kind of a second camp. Of course we support workforce development. We shouldn't even have to say that, but we will say it. I'll support the motion, but again, I would like to see us consider adding policy statements as a second tier, if you will, of our platform. I don't know if that makes sense or not, but I hope that's what this is about. With that, I'll support the motion. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you. Commissioner Unruh."

Commissioner Unruh said, "Thank you, Mr. Chair. I appreciate the comments of my colleagues. I just know that this is something that we are not opposed to, and it was a specific request by our community partners, which I can't think of anyone who wasn't present that has an interest in economic development and workforce development. I know that the only specific item that was talked about in this group at this time to try to accomplish this, was the continuation of Senate Bill 155 and the funding of that item. So, as specific workforce development/economic development issues come forward, we will have an opportunity to weigh on them on an individual basis.

"I appreciate the fact that I was one of the strongest opponents that we cut our legislative agenda down to a few action items, and this is definitely a policy position statement, but I think it's important in light of our current developments and our efforts towards economic development, specifically the Spirt support. Our community partners are, well they, I took a little heat actually in the meeting that it wasn't on our legislative agenda and everyone else said that this was their highest priority item. So, I just think from our position, we should have it in our statement that we are supportive of these items. The implication is, I think, specifically Senate Bill 155. That's all I have Mr. Chair."

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you. Commissioner Ranzau."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "Well I think I'll oppose adding this to it. As we move forward as specific items come up, I'd like to be made aware of them. I understand we have some friends at Workforce Alliance, but I don't want to give them a blank check, which seems like we're doing because not all legislation is equal. I guess that if what we're already doing isn't good enough, I'd like to hear that. I'd like to understand why, what needs to be changed. I think we're already doing plenty. So I want to make it clear that I support the efforts that are currently underway. I just don't think that the new way we're doing our legislative agenda, this is an appropriate item to add to it. I think we should address specific legislation as we go forward like we've done in the past sometimes."

Chairman Dennis said, "Okay, thank you. Commissioner Howell."

Commissioner Howell said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a second bite of the apple here. I just want to make sure that we're effective in our methods of communication. I can speak from experience. I think a former senator, Michael O'Donnell, Commissioner O'Donnell probably feels the same way, you are welcome to represent your own viewpoint, but when you are handed a large, wordy document, generally speaking, you kind of review the high points of that document and perhaps don't read it carefully. It doesn't mean as much when it's very detailed. So with that in mind, we advocated a couple of years ago to reduce our platform down to a few items that could be remembered, maybe left on someone's desk or handed to somebody in the elevator or as they happen to meet in the Capitol. So that was a very good idea I thought.

"It was more based on what we actually were trying to move forward right now. So that idea by the way, I shared that with the KAC (Kansas Association of Counties) back in 2015, and they've actually adopted the same strategy. I think a number of organizations are hearing that comment, and they're utilizing a newer strategy, simply trying to limit the things they talk about when they have those short opportunities, and then have those larger policy statements still defined somewhere. Again, if we had a policy statement part of our platform to the extent that workforce might be there, then they want to be able to say our community supports this, or the City of Wichita supports this position, or Sedgwick County Commission supports this position. I think to have those things defined might help some of our partners in the community.

"Even if we're not pushing the issue necessarily, specifically with legislation and lobbying something specifically in the Capitol, having our position defined somewhat may help one of our partners. So I get it. I think it's important to have a place where we can define our positions so that people can refer to what we've stated, even though that may not be on our postcard that we handed somebody in the elevator. So also again, I'll continue to support the motion, but I would urge us to reconsider our strategy, have a postcard with a couple of items on it, that we're moving forward right now and have positions defined otherwise in a larger document we don't hand out necessarily, but it's useful to someone, our lobbyists as well as maybe our partners in the community. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you. Further discussion? Well I think this is a statement that we probably should have had in there to begin with. It says again, support workforce training development and recruitment programs that help fill the advanced manufacturing workforce pipeline. It's kind of like mother and apple pie, actually to some extent, but we can add this. We've got certain things in our legislative agenda that are very specific. I think we can add this down after all of our specific items and still make sure that our message goes forward that we do support economic development, so I will support the motion. Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, Madam Clerk, call the roll."

#### VOTE

Commissioner O'Donnell II	Aye
Commissioner Ranzau	No
Commissioner Howell	Aye
Commissioner Unruh	Aye
Chairman Dennis	Aye

Chairman Dennis said, "Okay. Commissioner O'Donnell, do you want to speak to one other issue?"

Commissioner O'Donnell thanked the Chairman and said, "Yes, I can. Yesterday I had the opportunity of going up to Topeka, as some of the Commissioners and the Manager did. We were given a copy of an opinion editorial (op-ed) that went out across the state of Kansas by a lot of people in the construction industry talking about some comments by the attorney for the school funding lawsuit. Alan Rupe said that other areas of the budget are going to have to suffer to get more money for schools. What was suggested to us by President [Susan] Wagle, was to come up with our own platform. Similar to what we talked about at the staff meeting, was saying, hey don't harm any of the other services that the counties or the local governments across the state provide, particularly in Sedgwick County with our mental health initiatives and our correction initiatives, that's vital.

"Of course, we don't want more cuts from KDOT (Kansas Department of Transportation) and other transportation issues, but President Wagle and Representative [Brenda] Landwehr both know how important the mental health is, not just to the Board of Commissioners, but also to our District Attorney (D.A.) and our Sheriff. So she suggested, along with Representative Landwehr that we define our own platform, our own op-ed just to say that please hold us harmless or support us more, frankly is what we would like, but don't harm mental health in particular."

Chairman Dennis said, "To clarify a little bit, this is not legislative platform. This is more of a letter saying that we want to make sure that we don't harm essential services in Sedgwick County."

Commissioner O'Donnell said, "We would have to develop this."

Chairman Dennis said, "We haven't developed anything. We want to know if the Commissioners feel that we should move forward, and then develop a letter that we could jointly sign out. Commissioner Ranzau."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "Well I guess I'd like to see the details. I think we need to be realistic here. I think we're speaking out of both sides of our mouth. We have two things on our legislative agenda that have to do with subsidizing different businesses. We just added one here, we want more money for Workforce Alliance, we want a slush fund up there, but with the Supreme Court's edict, either they raise taxes again, or they have to make cuts, or they have to stand up to the Supreme Court and say you need to respect the separation of powers. So, I mean if they're going to have to, if they're not going to stand up to the Supreme Court, and they're not going to raise taxes, something's going to have to give, and I don't like it. I think standing up to the Supreme Court and respecting the separation of powers is what they need to be doing, but I think it's kind of unrealistic to say that they're not going to cut anything, they have to.

"I think it's estimated at 18 percent cut across the board is what they'd have to do. I mean if we're going to tell them hey don't cut anything, then maybe we should take off agenda items and tell them to spend more money. I mean we can't have it both ways. So those are my issues depending on what the letter said, but you know, if you're going to take a stand then, I mean it needs to be realistic.

"That's what I would, I mean we have a true issue there with separation of powers, and are they going to raise taxes again and allow the Supreme Court to mandate tax increases, or I mean, so but let's just be, I think we're being inconsistent. We're saying spend more money here, spend more money there, but don't cut here. I hope, we have two former legislators, you can't have it both ways. It's nice to say that so I think we need to be careful and evaluate exactly what we believe here. Are we going to be fiscally responsible or are we just going to wish for everything and say, I mean, we're just speaking out of both sides of our mouth. We have to be careful with that I think."

Chairman Dennis said, "Commissioner Unruh."

Commissioner Unruh said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think if we agree to send a letter that simply says that we want the current programs to be held harmless, I think I can legitimately sign that. I don't think I'm speaking out of both sides of my mouth. I think there's implications in that statement that we're asking them to take a stand against a Supreme Court decision and that's for them to decide. We want to let them know, I think, and this is a way to do it, that these programs that are currently funded, are vitally important to the citizens of Sedgwick County, and we want to let them know that by way of this communication or this editorial piece or whatever we call it. So, I'm going to be forward of doing that.

"What we have in our legislative agenda about economic development or workforce development specifically that we just talked about, primarily that goal is to, I think, it's to be creative, to continue to focus on it, to not cut the programs like Senate Bill 155. So I don't see anywhere in any of that anything about a slush fund. I don't see anything about being inconsistent in what we want to do, but we're just letting the legislature know what's important. So I'd be in favor of going ahead with a document of some kind that we put together, and of course we'd want to have it put together and read it before we vote on it, so that's my decision."

Chairman Dennis said, "Absolutely. I don't think we need to vote on anything today. We just need to see if we have a consensus to move ahead and draft a letter, and once we get a letter drafted, then we can bring that back for everyone to vote on. Commissioner Ranzau."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "Well if I remember right, the original draft from the economic development, I call it a slush fund, it was talked about some sort of closing fund and numbers thrown around was in the area of \$20 million was the number I was told that we're wanting them to spend. So there's definitely a desire out there for that. That being said, if we're going to do a letter, rather than inferring that they take a stand, I think we should make it very clear. Let's not be wishy-washy there. We're going to say, hey hold us harmless. Let's give them some advice on how to do that because they're going to have to do something controversial, either raise taxes again or say no to the Supreme Court, or make significant cuts, and we don't want them to make cuts let's, do you want them to raise taxes or do you (inaudible). I think we need to take a stand and be more straight-forward and put it out there." Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you. Commissioner Howell."

Commissioner Howell said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to reflect on the article I think that Commissioner O'Donnell was speaking of. There's an article in other parts of the state and apparently it did not appear locally here as far as I understand. The name of the article is 'A Fair Share of the Pie for All Kansans'. If we're supposed to, I think it was being recommended as something along that line that we would simply make a policy statement talking about funding for local services and local programs here that should the legislature choose to shift money from programs to something else, then it may cause unintended consequences, I guess, locally.

"So people understand what we're talking about, it's a letter similar to the article that's already out there, 'A Fair Share of the Pie for All Kansans', and similar to the Tyson [Foods] letter that we sent out and Commissioners did sign that, I guess I would ask people in the community to weigh-in if they want us to sign a letter. I think that they ought to be able to contact us, let us know what they think. They've been given an opportunity to understand what our intention is, that's representative of my district. I hope the people, if they have an opinion of whether we should or should not support a letter to the legislature in that regard, I hope that they'll speak now and let us know their opinion. That's all. Thank you Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you, Commissioner Howell. Further discussion? Again, I echo Commissioner Howell's comments that we're not going to vote on this today. We're going to probably vote on it, I would imagine, at our next meeting though. So any of the citizens out there that want to weigh-in on this, why we certainly are receptive to any kind of comments that we have on that. So with that, we won't be voting on it today. We will be taking it up at a future date. Next item." Approved

- D <u>18-062</u> One (1) Right of Way Easement for Sedgwick County Project 592-36; 109th Street North between 143rd & 159th Streets East. District 1. Approved on the Consent Agenda
- E <u>18-056</u> Resolution to Modify Chapter 19 of the Sedgwick County Code Regarding the Nuisance Abatement Code by Rescinding Sedgwick County Resolution 187-2001.

	d of Sedgwick County missioners	Meeting Minutes	January 23, 2018
		Approved on the Consent Agenda	
F	<u>18-067</u>	Transfer from the Public Safety Contingency Fund to the Corrections Work Release Program. <b>Approved on the Consent Agenda</b>	Division of
G	<u>18-069</u>	Plat. Approved by Public Works. The County Treasurer has ce in 2017 and all prior years have been paid for the followin	
		Farmers Coop-Clonmel Addition Approved on the Consent Agenda	
н	<u>18-051</u>	Order dated 1/4/2018 to correct tax roll for change of ass Approved on the Consent Agenda	sessment.
I	<u>18-058</u>	Treasurer Claim Certification. Approved on the Consent Agenda	

# EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Yost said, "Mr. Chairman, there is a need for an executive session today, but I wanted to bring to your attention that the form that I've sent out to all of the Commissioners and the management team is different than what it has been in the past. That is a result of a change in the law last year. We've had a considerable debate internally at our office about what that law means. I requested an Attorney General (A.G.) opinion which has been issued, so I think this form captures both the letter and the spirit of the Open Meetings Law [Kansas Open Meetings Act (KOMA)] in regard to going into executive session.

"I've gone over it with the Chairman Pro-Tem because he'll be making the motion, but I want to explain to the Board that when you have multiple topics as we do today, I think there is a need to very closely tie the justification for going into executive session to a particular topic. I think there needs to be a time set for that topic, and I think there needs to be a separate vote for each topic.

"That's my recommendation to the Board, and I think this form does that, and I've discussed it with Commissioner Unruh, as he goes through it. It's a little more complicated than it used to be, but I think it's something that comports with the law. That's why we're doing it."

Chairman Dennis said, "Thank you, Mr. Yost."

## MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved that the Board of County Commissioners recess into executive session for fifteen (15) minutes until 10:50 [a.m.], to consult with an attorney for this commission which would be deemed privileged in the attorney-client relationship. The executive session is required to protect attorney-client privilege and the public interest.

Commissioner Dennis seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner O'Donnell II	Aye
Commissioner Ranzau	Aye
Commissioner Howell	Aye
Commissioner Unruh	Aye
Chairman Dennis	Aye

### MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved that the Board of County Commissioners recess into executive session for thirty (30) minutes until 11:20 [a.m.], to discuss personnel matters of nonelected personnel. The executive session is required to protect the privacy interests of an identifiable individual, and that the Board of County Commissioners return to this room from executive session no sooner than 11:20 [a.m.].

Commissioner Dennis seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

### VOTE

Commissioner O'Donnell II	Aye
Commissioner Ranzau	Aye
Commissioner Howell	Aye
Commissioner Unruh	Aye
Chairman Dennis	Aye

The Board of County Commissioners recessed into executive session at 10:32 a.m. and returned at 11:26 a.m.

Chairman Dennis said, "All members are present. I'd like to reconvene the Special Meeting of January 23rd, 2018. In accordance with Kansas Law, no binding actions were taken during executive session. Anything else need to come before the Board?" Approved

## **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 11:27 a.m.