

ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL
(5 Items)

1. TOILET PAPER AND PAPER TOWELS -- FACILITIES MAINTENANCE

FUNDING -- FACILITIES MAINTENANCE

(Request sent to 15 vendors)

RFB #18-0030 Contract

	Lafe T. Williams & Associates dba Williams Janitorial Supply Warehouse	Central Poly Corporation	GTE Holdings, LLC.	Veritiv Operating Company		DanikSCO Business Solutions LLC dba Office Plus of Kansas	SW Plus dba Southwest Paper Company		Maintenance & Supply Company dba Massco			
Standard Toilet Paper				Option #1	Option #2		Option #1	Option #2	Option #1	Option #2	Option #3	Option #4
Brand/Product Number	General GEN201	Atlas A250	Sellars Mayfair 183080	Tork TM1616S	Kimberly Clark #04460	Boardwalk #6144	Allied West OPT542	Boardwalk BWK6145	Tork SCATM1616A	Georgia Pacific-GP19880	Nova #4535	Empress BT965002
Cost per Case	\$26.65	\$42.99	\$29.22	\$32.66	\$40.55	\$23.94	\$33.86	\$28.19	\$31.06	\$37.17	\$25.86	\$34.03
First available delivery date	Stock	14 days	Stock	2 wks	2 wks	3 wks	2-3 wks	2-3 wks	24 Hours	24 Hours	7 Days	7 Days
Rolls per case	96	96	96	96	80	96	96	96	96	80	96	96
Roll Length or Sheets	500 sheets	500 sheets	500 Sheets	500 Sheets	550 Sheets	400 Sheets	500 Sheets	500 Sheets	500 Sheets	550 Sheets	500 Sheets	500 Sheets
Width and Length of sheet	4.5" x 3.75"	3.8" x 4.5"	4.0" X 3.75 "	4" X 3.8"	4.1" X 4.0"	4" X 3 "	4.4 " x 4.0"	3.0" X 4.0"	4" X 3.75"	4.5" x 4.05"	4.5" x 3.5"	3.6" x 4.06"
Price per square foot	\$0.0047	\$0.0075	\$0.0058	\$0.0064	\$0.0081	\$0.0075	\$0.0058	\$0.0071	\$0.0062	\$0.0067	\$0.0049	\$0.0070
Acknowledge Addenda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes			
	Lafe T. Williams & Associates dba Williams Janitorial Supply Warehouse	Central Poly Corporation	GTE Holdings, LLC.	Veritiv Operating Company		DanikSCO Business Solutions LLC dba Office Plus of Kansas	SW Plus dba Southwest Paper Company		Maintenance & Supply Company dba Massco			
Standard Paper Towels				Option #1	Option #2		Option #1	Option #2	Option #1	Option #2	Option #3	
Brand/Product Number	General GEN1508 Brown	Marcal P100N	Sellars Mayfair #183204	Kimberly Clark 01801	Tork MB540A White	Roses Southwest #202R	Allied West US4003	General GEN1508 Brown	Tork SCAMK520A	Georgia Pacific GP23304	Empress HT240011	
Cost per case	\$13.85	\$21.66	\$16.19	\$19.14	\$16.74	\$12.81	\$13.14	\$12.72	\$14.33	\$16.94	\$16.62	
First available delivery date	Stock	14 days	Stock	2 Weeks	14 days	3 Weeks	Stock	3 Weeks	24 Hours	24 Hours	7 Days	
Sheet Size	9" x 9 1/2"	12.87" x 10.12"	9" x 9.5"	9.2" x 9.4"	9 1/8" x 9 1/2"	9.25" x 9.5"	9.15" x 9.5"	9" x 9 1/2"	9.5" x 9.125"	9.2" x 9.4"	12.75" x 10"	
Packs per case	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 150 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	16 Packs / 250 per pack	12 Packs/200 per pack	
Price per square foot	\$0.0058	\$0.0099	\$0.0068	\$0.0079	\$0.0069	\$0.0052	\$0.0054	\$0.0054	\$0.0059	\$0.0071	\$0.0078	
Acknowledge Addenda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes			

	Lafe T. Williams & Associates dba Williams Janitorial Supply Warehouse	Central Poly Corporation	GTE Holdings, LLC.	Veritiv Operating Company		Daniksco Business Solutions LLC dba Office Plus of Kansas	SW Plus dba Southwest Paper Company		Maintenance & Supply Company dba Massco			
Jumbo Toilet Paper				Option #1	Option #2		Option #1	Option #2	Option #1	Option #2	Option #3	Option #4
Brand/Product Number	General GEN202	Central Poly #700	Sellars/Mayfair #183014	Tork TJ0922A	Kimberly Clark #67805	General GEN202	Allied West PAC256	General GENJRT1000	Tork SCAJ0922A	Georgia Pacific GP13728	Nova #1002	Nova #115100
Cost per case	\$16.10	\$31.66	\$19.48	\$22.24	\$24.35	\$16.04	\$19.61	\$18.22	\$21.11	\$19.39	\$17.54	\$16.53
First available delivery	Stock	14 Days	In Stock	2 Weeks	2 Weeks	3 Weeks	Stock	Stock	24 Hours	24 Hours	7 Days	7 Days
Rolls per case	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	8	12	12
Roll Length	720'	1000'	725'	1000'	1000'	720'	1000'	1000'	1000'	1000'	1000'	750'
Roll Width	3.25"	3.4"	3.3"	3.55"	3.55"	3.25"	3.5"	3.5"	3.6"	3.5"	3.55"	3.55"
Price per square foot	\$0.0069	\$0.0093	\$0.0081	\$0.0063	\$0.0069	\$0.0069	\$0.0056	\$0.0052	\$0.0059	\$0.0083	\$0.0049	\$0.0062
Acknowledge Addenda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes			
	Lafe T. Williams & Associates dba Williams Janitorial Supply Warehouse		Central Poly Corporation	GTE Holdings, LLC	Veritiv Operating Company		Daniksco Business Solutions LLC dba Office Plus of Kansas	SW Plus dba Southwest Paper Company		Maintenance & Supply Company dba Massco		
Jumbo Paper Towels	Option #1	Option #2			Option #1	Option #2		Option #1	Option #2	Option #1	Option #2	Option #3
Brand/Product Number	Morcon MORW6800 White	Morcon MORR6800 Brown	Marcal P708B6	Sellars Mayfair #183211	Tork RB8002	Kimberly Clark #01000	Morcon MORW6800 White	Allied West US4012	Morcon MORW6800 White	Tork SCARB8002	Georgia Pacific GP26601	Solaris #46529
Cost per Case	\$17.65	\$15.40	\$27.66	\$19.48	\$21.07	\$55.60	\$16.16	\$17.91	\$16.85	\$20.03	\$23.78	\$23.32
First available delivery	Stock	Stock	14 Days	Stock	2 Weeks	2 Weeks	3 Weeks	Stock	3 Weeks	24 Hours	24 Hours	7 Days
Rolls per case	6	6	6	6	6	12	6	6	6	6	6	6
Roll Length	800'	800'	800'	800'	800'	1000'	800'	800'	800'	800'	800'	800'
Roll Width	7.9"	8"	7.87"	7.8"	7.87"	8"	7.9"	7.9"	7.9"	7.8"	7.87"	8"
Price per square foot	\$0.0056	\$0.0048	\$0.0088	\$0.0062	\$0.0067	\$0.0070	\$0.0051	\$0.0057	\$0.0053	\$0.0064	\$0.0076	\$0.0073
Acknowledge Addenda	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		
No Bid	Staples											

On the recommendation of Kristen McGovern, on behalf of Facilities Maintenance, Talaya Schwartz moved to **accept the low bids from Lafe T. Williams & Associates dba Williams Janitorial Supply Warehouse for standard toilet paper, Daniksco Business Solutions LLC dba Office Plus of Kansas for standard paper towels and jumbo paper towels and Maintenance & Supply Company dba Massco Option #3 for jumbo toilet paper at the rates listed above and establish contract pricing for one (1) year with four (4) one (1) year options to renew.** Linda Kizzire seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Tania Cole and David Portley - Facilities Maintenance and Kristen McGovern - Purchasing evaluated and verified that specifications were met. Specifications were reviewed and approved by Facilities Maintenance. Samples were required to be sent with all bid submissions.

The county uses approximately 2200 cases of standard toilet paper, 1800 cases of standard paper towels, 800 cases of jumbo toilet paper, and 800 cases of jumbo paper towels each year.

Lafe T. Williams dba Williams Janitorial Supply Warehouse Option 2, did not meet specifications for jumbo paper towels.

Questions and Answers

Linda Kizzire: What were some of the specifications the different vendors had to meet?

Kristen McGovern: These are the recommended awards. I have the full samples down here for you.

Talaya Schwartz: Have we used all these vendors before?

Kristen McGovern: Yes, we have.

Kristen McGovern: We have rolls of toilet paper and the fold towels. We had some EPA guidelines; 20% post consumer waste, 20% recovered content, must be 2-ply. The folded paper towels only had to be 1-ply. That was it for the standard. For the jumbos, we had the same EPA guidelines. For the jumbo toilet paper it had to be 2-ply and a minimum of 3.75".

Linda Kizzire: Are we going to have to replace any of the existing holders by changing vendors?

Joe Thomas / David Portley: No.

Tom Stolz: Is this contract similar to what we've had historically? Dollar-wise and quantity-wise? Nothing radically different?

Kristen McGovern: No, there is not.

Linda Kizzire: Was this tested in any different environments throughout the county? I'm just curious.

Tom Stolz: Are you wanting more quality or less quality?

Tania Cole: For the testing, what was done previously, you've probably seen this on commercials is they will take the toilet paper and put some water on there with a coin and lift to see how well that does in that type of environment so that testing was done on this toilet paper previously.

2. COMPREHENSIVE MEDICAL SERVICES -- DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS

FUNDING -- JUVENILE DETENTION FACILITY AND JUVENILE RESIDENTIAL FACILITY

(Request sent to 62 vendors)

RFP #17-0094 Contract

	Advanced Correctional Healthcare, Inc.	Correct Care Solutions, LLC
Medical Base Price (18 months)	\$780,342.66	\$761,913.00
No Bid	Dr. Ron Waln	Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas
	Cigna Corporation	The University of Kansas Medical Center
	Dandurand Drugstore	Innovative Holistic Therapy
	Ergoability/OT on Wheels	Hart Pharmacy
	Heartland Rural Counseling Services, Inc.	Primary Care Associates, LLC

On the recommendation of Paul Regehr, on behalf of Division of Corrections, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to **accept the low proposal from Correct Care Solutions, LLC in the amount of \$761,913.00 for the contracted period of 18 months.** Tim Myers seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A review committee comprised of Chris Collins-Thoman, Jodi Tronsgard, Stephanie Lane, and Steve Stonehouse - Division of Corrections; Elora Forshee - Emergency Communications and Paul Regehr - Purchasing evaluated the proposals based on criteria set forth in the RFP. Correct Care Solutions, LLC received the higher score and was unanimously chosen for award.

Advanced Correctional Healthcare, Inc. cost per month is \$43,352.37. Their proposal would rely on care outside of the facility. It does not include X-rays, OBGYN and pharmaceuticals. It also does not include a plan for catastrophic events. The overall scope of the proposal is narrower than requested.

Correct Care Solutions, LLC cost per month is \$42,328.50. Their proposal had a reasonable approach and exceeds the requirements. The services they provide are more comprehensive than that of Advanced Correctional Healthcare, Inc. at a similar cost. The Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office is currently utilizing their services at the Adult Detention Facility and is more than satisfied with the services rendered.

Questions and Answers

Tom Stolz: Did we get a new vendor for Corrections but the same vendor the Sheriff has?

Chris Collins-Thoman: Yes.

Talaya Schwartz: Did we get any reasons why the other vendors didn't respond?

Paul Regehr: I did call the no-bids. Some of the responses were they were not a medical provider; the RFP was outside their services; they didn't find a company to partner with; the RFP was over their capabilities; and they did not offer the services required.

Talaya Schwartz: Basically you are looking for truly comprehensive?

Paul Regehr: Yes.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JUNE 21, 2018

Tom Stolz: Who is the current vendor? Did they bid?

Chris Collins-Thoman: We have several different vendors who are currently servicing us. One is KU Medical and they opted not to bid. The other is Dandurand Pharmacy that does our pharmaceuticals and this was outside the scope of what they could provide at a cost savings because they don't have a national formulary that allows for those reduced costs. The third provider is Comcare that does our psych services and they actually have been doing that for a number of years but really need their staff back at their facility to do community services. So all of our current vendors are aware we have been doing this and have had discussions with them.

Tom Stolz: So we actually crafted a contract here for comprehensive services and we've never done that before? We've always broken it up and allowed different vendors to provide different facets?

Chris Collins-Thoman: I've been in this role since March of 2017 but my understanding is there were discussions prior to me coming on about doing something more comprehensive and about an opportunity to partner with the adult facility. Actually our plan over time is to work in the RFP process with the adult jail, which is being kicked off in July and hopefully combine the comprehensive medical for adult and juvenile.

Tom Stolz: That makes a lot of sense. Can you tell if going to this model of comprehensive will be more or less cost effective than individually bidding it out with three different pairs?

Chris Collins-Thoman: Our hope is over time it will and we'll realize the savings more when we're combined with the adult side. We've had multiple discussions with adult and have gone over and visited. One of the cost savings they realized was for medications for the cost of \$0.71 per day per inmate. So our costs already are higher than that.

Tom Stolz: So we'll see an immediate savings even by going to this?

Chris Collins-Thoman: We'll see a savings in pharmacy but I don't know it will translate to a savings over all until we are combined with adult moving forward.

Tom Stolz: This is an 18 month contract, which is a little unusual for the county instead of the three year or two year. Is there a reason Mike this is an 18 month contract?

Michael Fessinger: I don't know why it would be 18 months instead of 12 months. My suspicion is that is what the RFP had. I can't answer that.

Joe Thomas: I think the reason is we were trying to make it concurrent with the upcoming contract for ADF.

Tom Stolz: This gives a good trial period.

Chris Collins-Thoman: Yes and then we can also look at the staffing patterns that we requested and see if they meet our needs or if we can reduce that as more of a cost savings moving forward.

Talaya Schwartz: Does Correct Care Solutions subcontract the individual services or are they located locally and can send people out for them, as far as the medical professionals?

Chris Collins-Thoman: They hire the medical professionals. One of the discussions we had moving forward was that now with them servicing the jail annex, once that is in place, they hope to utilize staff time between that and our facility to use their time more wisely as opposed to dedicating the staff to one facility.

Talaya Schwartz: So they'll have scheduled days where they will come to the facilities?

Chris Collins-Thoman: Yes. In this one, we are also requesting medication aide services, which are currently being done by our Corrections Staff and so we anticipate some staff savings there to get them back into the role of Corrections as opposed to medication management.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JUNE 21, 2018

3. PUMPER TRUCK -- SEDGWICK COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT #1

FUNDING -- SEDGWICK COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT #1

(Request sent to 62 vendors)

RFP #18-0028 Contract

	Emergency Fire Equipment, Inc.	Smeal Holding, LLC
Pumper Truck	\$619,251.00	\$613,751.00
Independent Front Suspension Option	\$17,166.00	\$17,574.00
Total	\$636,417.00	\$631,325.00
Manufacturer	Spartan Motors USA d/b/a Spartan ERV	Smeal Holding, LLC
Delivery Date	365 Days ARO	390 Days ARO
Bid Bond	Y	Y
Acknowledge Addenda	Y	Y
No Bid	Life Safety Systems	Conrad Fire Equipment, Inc.
	Segrave Fire Apparatus, LLC	Jon's Mid America Fire Apparatus, Inc.

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of Sedgwick County Fire District #1 (SCFD), Linda Kizzire moved to **accept the low proposal from Smeal Holding, LLC in the amount of \$631,325.00**. Tim Myers seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee comprised of Ray Hensley, Troy Hilger, Brad Burdick, and Brian Richey - SCFD; Randy Bargdill - Treasurer's Office, and Britt Rosencutter - Purchasing reviewed all proposal responses based on experience, qualifications, and ability to provide the specified apparatus. Smeal Holding, LLC met specifications and was the lowest overall proposal.

A pumper is traditionally what most people refer to when they see a fire engine. A pumper truck is the backbone of any fire department. It is used in all aspects of fire service. A pumper truck is usually designed by each fire department to fit the department's needs and carries a fire pump, water tank, ladders, and all tools needed to fight fires. Its main purpose is to pump water to fight fires from a static or pressurized water supply. The average lifecycle of a pumper truck is 10 to 15 years. The Sedgwick County Fire District currently has 8 pumper trucks in their fleet, 6 front line and 2 in reserve.

Vehicles being replaced:

20238 - 2001 Pierce Pumper 2NKMHZ8X52M889012 Points – 15.4 Mileage - 143,288

Note: This is a replacement vehicle and the surplus will be sold on Purplewave

Questions and Answers

Linda Kizzire: Didn't we just buy one of these a few months ago?

Britt Rosencutter: We did purchase a pumper.

Linda Kizzire: I thought it was a pumper.

Dan Wegner (Fire District 1): To answer your question, yes we did.

Tom Stolz: Same vendor?

Dan Wegner: Same vendor. We originally were proposing to buy an aerial, an engine and a tender. The directives were changed to reverse the aerial and buy a second engine, and since our original contract did not specify multiple purchases of the same vehicle we had to go through bid process the second time and that's what we're doing here today.

Linda Kizzire: For the same contract price?

Dan Wegner: About two percent higher.

Tom Stolz: Are we going to buy anymore this year?

Dan Wegner: Not to my knowledge sir.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JUNE 21, 2018

Tom Stolz: Generally when we do these contracts we lock in the price for a year or two or reasonable time period?

Joe Thomas: We have to be specific when we plan on ordering multiple vehicles. In the original document we were only going to order one, we had to start the process over.

Tom Stolz: So we get 10 to 15 years out of this usually, the one we're getting rid of is actually older than that. It hit the 15-point scale. When we met last time we discussed this we talked about specifications. I may have asked the same question when we did this last time. In a pure analysis do other counties use this type of pumper? For similar counties to us, is this a pretty standard piece of equipment?

Dan Wegner: Yes, we have certain modifications that meet our needs specific for our mission. Sedgwick County is rather unique that we are a wildland, urban, suburban and rural areas. So we have some particulars that we need to address on our firetrucks.

Linda Kizzire: Will they be delivered around the same time?

Dan Wegner: We are hoping to, we are trying to push this one as quickly as we can so we can get them built at least in the same time frame. Hopefully we will receive for the latter part of the 2019.

Tom Stolz: 2019?

Dan Wegner: Yes. It will take about a year to build it.

Tom Stolz: Where is Smeal at?

Dan Wegner: Nebraska.

Tom Stolz: Is this the kind of piece of apparatus that we will go and view at various stages when being built or will they send those pictures? Or how do we...

Dan Wegner: We usually go up there three times; pre-build, midterm and finalization on the vehicle.

Tom Stolz: Is that one part of this price that we are seeing today? Or is that going to be extra and above?

Dan Wegner: I believe that was taken out, and we are footing the bill for that one.

**4. CHANGE ORDER #2 - 2018 COLD MIX & GRAVEL -- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING -- GRAVEL ROAD REPLACEMENT 2 MILES - DIST.**

RFB#18-0014 S/C #800012983

	Flint Hills Materials, LLC
Cement Treated Base (10") - 29,175 square yards @ \$5.65	\$164,838.75
Water (Set Price) - 350 Mgal @ \$35.00	\$12,250.00
Manipulation for in-place material subgrade mod - 499 cubic yards @ \$20.00	\$9,980.00
Bituminous Surface Construction (BM-2) - 3579 tons @ \$38.10	\$136,359.90
Additional Micro Cracking and Testing	\$17,060.00
Additional Mobilization	\$10,348.00
Additional Construction Staking	\$2,020.00
Additional Traffic Control	\$2,700.00
Total	\$355,556.65

On the recommendation of Joe Thomas, on behalf of Public Works, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to **accept the change order from Flint Hills Materials, LLC in the amount of \$355,556.65.** Talaya Schwartz seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Conversion of an additional two miles of gravel township roads to county paved roads:

1. Hoover Road from 69th St. N. to 77th St. N.
2. Tyler Road from 77th St. N. to 85th St. N.

Note: The original purchase order 4300060700 is for \$739,455.00. Cumulative total for change orders 1-2 is \$428,798.65 and represents a 58% increase to the original contract.

Change Order #1

Water was bid at one unit; modification is for the total units to be used during construction. Micro Cracking and Testing: soil conditions warrant this additional pavement treatment. Total addition \$73,242.00

Questions and Answers

Tom Stolz: Is this the standard order when we do miles for the commission districts from township roads to pavement, correct? Standard Jim?

Jim Weber: Yes.

**5. CHANGE ORDER #4 -- TIME AND LABOR MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- DIVISION OF FINANCE
FUNDING -- PAYROLL**

(Single Source)

#18-2026 SC #8000129376

	Kronos, Inc.
Educational Services	
Bill-As-You-Go Instructor Lead Training - 5,025 points	\$5,025.00
Professional Services	
Paragon Enterprise - 182 hours (see below)	
Project Manager - 28 hours @ \$200.00/hr.	\$5,600.00
Integration Consultant - 16 hours @ \$200.00/hr.	\$3,200.00
Technology Consultant - 70 hours @ \$215.00/hr.	\$15,050.00
Solution Consultant - 68 hours @ \$200.00/hr.	\$13,600.00
Grand Total	\$42,475.00
Software	
Workforce Timekeeper v8 (3100 licenses)	included
Workforce Manager v8 (670 licenses)	included
Workforce Employee v8 (3100 licenses)	included
Kronos Enterprise Archive v8 (3100 licenses)	included
Workforce Absence Manager v8 (3100 licenses)	included
Workforce Integration Manager v8 (3100 licenses)	included
Workforce Mobile Employee v8 (100 licenses)	included
Workforce Mobile Manager v8 (20 licenses)	included
Workforce Mobile Manager v8 (530 licenses)	included
Workforce Mobile Employee v8 (3000 licenses)	included
Workforce Integration Manager v8 to Telestaff (1 license)	included
Support Services	
Gold Support Service (1 year)	included

On the recommendation of Kristen McGovern, on behalf of the Division of Finance, Talaya Schwartz moved to **accept the change order from Kronos, Inc. in the amount of \$42,475.00.** Tom Stolz seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

In 2011, Sedgwick County contracted with Kronos, Inc. to implement an electronic time & labor management system replacing at the time, the county's paper-driven process for payroll. This eliminated considerable time consuming manual entry as well as ensuring each department employed the same payroll process for managing employee time fairly in accordance with the county's Strategic Plan. During our original implementation, the Division of Information, Technology & Support Services (DITSS) discovered conflicts in many departments (primarily Public Safety) that used various software packages that depended upon different versions of Java to operate properly. DITSS employed an elegant solution that encapsulated the e-timesheet program in a safe, secure manner that prevented conflict with other software packages, allowing them to coexist without issue. This solution has reached end of life.

Additionally, we are unable to patch the current e-timesheet system (version 7.0.12) as necessary security updates and patches from the vendor break the encapsulation software solution. Working with Kronos, Inc., a new version of e-timesheet has been tested and made available to Sedgwick County. This new version (8.1) removes the dependence upon Java and adds many desired benefits and improvements to our payroll process. Removing Java provides an improved layer of security not previously possible. Contracting with Kronos, Inc. for the upgrade is appropriate as they created the complex interfaces between SAP and e-timesheet and are best equipped to accomplish this upgrade.

Many county employees work in a static office environment, but many others work in remote locations throughout the county and have limited access to the county's network. County employees are based at 86 sites with some employees physically reporting to their assigned base only occasionally. As many as

half of the county's employees are scheduled to work at times other than the traditional business week and many are scheduled to work more than the traditional 40-hour week. This system will allow these employees remote access for real time reporting of hours worked. Upgrading our payroll system to the new version of Kronos Workforce Timekeeper (e-timesheet) maintains reliability and the highest security levels possible while reducing the impact of Java on Sedgwick County.

Note: The original contract was for \$590,251.00. Cumulative to date for change orders 1-4 is \$779,829.41 and represents a 132.1% increase to the original contract.

Change Order #1

Telestaff scheduling was added to other departments in Public Safety (Fire, Sheriff, Corrections and 911 Communications). Total addition: \$545,717.69.

Change Order #2

Additional time clocks, biometric recorders and other hardware were added. Additional software licenses and professional service hours required to configure the process of having supervisors assume the duties of time entry approvers. Total addition: \$115,335.00.

Change Order #3

Newer version allows integration with county's VoIP, controls labor costs, improve workforce productivity, interfaces with E-Timesheet, Telestaff infrastructure moves to a virtual environment. The Telestaff database will move to the county standard of SQL which allows for all five (5) Public Safety departments to utilize a single, segmented database rather than five (5) separate infrastructures, reducing licensing and maintenance costs. Total addition: \$76,301.72.

Questions and Answers

Talaya Schwartz: Joe, which division tested this update?

Joe Currier: Originally or...?

Talaya Schwartz: With the new updates? It says it has been tested.

Joe Currier (IT Infrastructure Director): The new 8.1 has not been tested in our environment. It was tested by Kronos and they have vetted it out thoroughly and other agencies that are using it has this in place.

Talaya Schwartz: Does it bring any concern that we have not tested it internally?

Joe Currier: No, because we will have them on contract to set it up to make sure interfaces all work and everything will be functioning as required.

Talaya Schwartz: Is that implementation included in this cost?

Joe Currier: Yes.

Tom Stolz: Joe, does this solve that problem, this upgrade?

Joe Currier: Yes, that is what we understood.

Tom Stolz: How long do we have to keep using, we have a plan to replace Kronos in a future upgrade is that 2022?

Joe Currier: I think it is 2021.

Tom Stolz: Because we've had how many change orders? 1, 2, 3 for a system that was implemented in 2011. It just seems like this has been problematic...and actually...we may have actually partially implemented it in 2011. Seems like we actually rolled out organization wide in 2013? It's not that old of a system and we've had a lot of difficulties with this.

Talaya Schwartz: Yes, because it was later than that county wide, like 2015.

Tom Stolz: So this will patch, hopefully it's the last patch we have to do it and we were going to look at a different kind of product in the future.

Joe Currier: We will continue to patch it, this professional services is to get us to the next version of the software platform. It keeps those interfaces that you got in place now, it is a long time to stand up, keeps those intact and everything else. Everything working with SAP, E-time sheet, and the Telestaff.

Linda Kizzire: But the end user is not going to notice a lot of changes in the E-Timesheet?

Donna Howerton: My understanding is that it may change the view of the time card but it won't change any features. I don't think it will require any retraining on the supervisor's part.

Tim Myers: And Joe, am I missing something here? The change orders are 1 through 4 but I only see 3 changes?

Joe Currier: This is the 4th change order.

Tim Myers: Thank you.

Joe Thomas: I believe Change Order #2 dealt with just additional equipment as opposed to any problems.

Tom Stolz: Just to summarize, we bought this product for \$590,000.00 and today that's actually cost and \$779,829.00?

Joe Thomas: No, it's cost us an additional \$779,829.00, the change order totals.

Joe Currier: One of those was an additional Telestaff product.

Tom Stolz: So the goal is to just keep upgrading and patching this until we can divorce this system in 2022 is that accurate?

Joe Currier: I believe so, yes sir.