CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) AMENDMENT FORM

- 1. Department/Contact Person: David Spears, P.E., Director of Public Works
- 2. Project Title: B-471: Bridge on 53rd St. N. between 231st St. W. and 247th St. W.
- **3. Request Status:** Previously Approved Project (complete table below)

Year Approved	Original Budget	Requested Change	Revised Total	
2017	\$700,000.00	(\$700,000.00)	0	

4. Justification: Discuss the need for the project, how it will improve delivery of services, and improve efficiency, along with the scope of capital work to be completed. Please address the urgent need of this project and what qualifies this project to be reviewed outside of the CIP budget process. Please summarize below, if additional space is needed, then provide the detailed narrative in CIP Attachment Form A.

Attachment Form A.			
An imbalance between authorized budget authority and actual balances in the Sales Tax Fund (231) requires that projects be reprioritized and rescheduled. A total of four bridge projects that were approved for construction in 2016 and 2017 are recommended for deferral to later years. Approval of this CIP Amendment will remove this project from the approved CIP. The project will be programmed to a later year during preparation of the 2018 – 2022 CIP.			

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) AMENDMENT FORM

5. Cost and Funding: Outline all project costs, by appropriate project phase, based on the anticipated schedule. Select below the table who developed the estimate and when. A dated, written copy of the estimate must be attached. Then, select the funding source and provide a brief explanation of how the project will be funded, and explanation if selecting "other".

Project Phase	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Right of Way						
Design						
Construction						
Utility Relocation						

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) AMENDMENT FORM

7. CIP Committee Recommendation: Recommended

The CIP amendment was posted to the eline CIP semembers of the CIP Committee were notified of the February 27, 2017, the following members had compared to the eline CIP semembers of the CIP Committee were notified of the February 27, 2017, the following members had compared to the eline CIP semembers of the CIP semembers o	he posting the same day. As of noon on Monday,
David Spears Lindsay Poe Rousseau Steve Claassen Scott Knebel (responded by email – does 1 Tom Stoltz	not have access to eline)
There had been no response from Tom Golden. The CIP Amendment is considered to be recomme	
8. Agenda Recommendation: Consent	
Approved for Consent by email dated 2/27/17.	
Michael Scholes	Date
X Consent Regular Agenda	