

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) AMENDMENT FORM

**1. Department/Contact Person:** David Spears, P.E., Director of Public Works

**2. Project Title:** R-334: Interchange at I-235 and US-54

**3. Request Status:** Previously Approved Project (complete table below)

Year Approved	Original Budget	Requested Change	Revised Total
2016	\$4,012,823	(\$12,823)	\$4,000,000

**4. Justification:** Discuss the need for the project, how it will improve delivery of services, and improve efficiency, along with the scope of capital work to be completed. Please address the urgent need of this project and what qualifies this project to be reviewed outside of the CIP budget process. Please summarize below, if additional space is needed, then provide the detailed narrative in CIP Attachment Form A.

In 2012, Sedgwick County, the City of Wichita and the Kansas Department of Transportation entered into an agreement to finance and construct interchange improvements at I-235 and US-54. In order to maximize the county's use of federal funds, the agreement provided for the use of county allocated federal funds directly to the project which results in saving the 10% discount rate that KDOT applies to the exchange of state funds for federal funds. The agreement calls for payments by Sedgwick County totaling \$11,600,000 (\$4,000,000 in 2016; \$4,000,000 in 2017; \$3,600,000 in 2018).

Several factors have necessitated an amendment to the CIP in order to change the type and amount of funding. They are:

1. Implementation of the MAP-21 federal transportation act by KDOT has resulted in an overall decrease in the allocation of federal funding to Sedgwick County. MAP-21 has been replaced by FAST-Act but the KDOT (statutory) allocation formula remains the same as in MAP-21.
2. The loss of some federal funds now necessitates an increase in the local funding. The amendment identifies other local fund sources (savings in other projects) to make up the difference.
3. KDOT has used other federal funds for the project and has informed the county that they are counting on the use of non-federal funds from the county to match their other federal funds.
4. KDOT has agreed to allow the county to exchange federal funds for state funds at the rate of 100% rather than the standard 90% in order to make the county whole under the new financial arrangements.
5. The county has a balance of 2015 federal exchange funds (90% rate) that can be applied to the project.
6. There is a slight decrease in overall cost due to the change due to savings of bond costs due to a change to cash funding.

The change to federal exchange funds will require that the county make the entire \$4,000,000 payment and then immediately seek reimbursement of the exchange funds. Expected turnaround time is 3 weeks.

The table below summarizes budgeted and proposed funding sources.

Fund Source	2016 Approved	Proposed Amendment
Federal Funds	\$3,145,125	0
Federal Funds Exchange (100%)	0	\$2,632,271.44
Federal Funds Exchange (90%)	0	\$73,806.33
2016 Roads and Bridges Funds	0	\$105,000.00
Sales Tax (2016)	\$867,698	\$867,698
Sales Tax from R-175 (2014)	0	\$321,224.23
Total	\$4,012,823	\$4,000,000.00

## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) AMENDMENT FORM

- 5. Cost and Funding:** Outline all project costs, by appropriate project phase, based on the anticipated schedule. Select below the table who developed the estimate and when. A dated, written copy of the estimate must be attached. Then, select the funding source and provide a brief explanation of how the project will be funded, and explanation if selecting "other".

Project Phase	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Right of Way						
Design						
Construction	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$3,600,000			\$11,600,000
Utility Relocation						
	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$3,600,000			\$11,600,000

**Estimate Developed By:** Department

**Date:** June 29, 2016

**Funding Type:** Other

Transfer sales tax funds from the R-175 (2014) in the amount of \$321,224.23 (Cost Center 2153-231)  
Transfer cash from the Roads and Bridges Funds in the amount of \$105,000.00 (Cost Center 21001-230)

**6. Operating Budget Impact:** No Budget Impact

Outline estimated operating impacts occurring as a result of this project. Below the table, discuss these operating impacts and funding strategy. Be sure to seek expert assistance when appropriate.

Operating Impact	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
Total Revenue						
Personnel						
Contractual						
Commodities						
Equipment						
Total Expenses						
FTE(s)						

## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) AMENDMENT FORM

### 7. CIP Committee Recommendation: Recommended

The CIP Amendment was circulated to the members of the CIP Committee by email on June 29, 2016. As of July 5, 2016, Spears, Chronis, Holt, Duncan and Claassen had responded with concurrence. No response was received from Barber. Based on the 5/6 vote, the amendment is forwarded to the County Manager for a final recommendation.

Public Works requests permission to place the CIP Amendment on the Consent Agenda.

### 8. Agenda Recommendation: Consent

Approved for Consent Agenda by email dated July 8, 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Scholes

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

☒

Consent

☐

Regular Agenda