

ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL

3 Items

1. ON CALL ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES -- FACILITIES DEPARTMENT

FUNDING -- VARIOUS

(Request sent to 61 vendors)

RFB# 12-0004 Req N/A

Title of Staff Position and Hourly Rate	Architectural Innovations, Inc.	Folger & Associates	GLMV Architecture	Hanney & Associates Architects
1. Project Architect	\$90.00	\$100.00	\$70.00	\$65.00
2. Interior Design	\$60.00	\$75.00	\$75.00	\$50.00
3. Landscape Design	\$65.00	\$85.00	\$82.00	\$75.00
4. Electrical Engineer	\$90.00	\$75.00	\$89.00	\$100.00
5. Mechanical Engineer	\$90.00	\$75.00	\$95.00	\$60.00
6. Structural Engineer	\$120.00	\$100.00	\$89.00	\$85.00
7. Civil Engineer	\$98.00	\$85.00	\$105.00	\$145.00
8. Construction Cost Estimator/Administrator	\$85.00	\$85.00	\$99.00	\$65.00
9. Administrative Assistant/Secretary	\$30.00	\$45.00	\$50.00	\$25.00
10. Draftsman	\$40.00	\$65.00	\$57.00	\$30.00
Title of Staff Position and Hourly Rate	Kraybill Architect	Krehbiel Architecture	LawKingdon Architecture	Schaefer Johnson Cox Frey Architecture
1. Project Architect	\$120.00	\$75.00	\$95.00	\$80.00
2. Interior Design	\$75.00	\$50.00	\$65.00	\$50.00
3. Landscape Design	\$80.00	\$65.00	\$80.00	\$65.00
4. Electrical Engineer	\$90.00	\$70.00	\$95.00	\$72.00
5. Mechanical Engineer	\$90.00	\$70.00	\$95.00	\$80.00
6. Structural Engineer	\$105.00	\$75.00	\$105.00	\$85.00
7. Civil Engineer	\$100.00	\$85.00	\$130.00	\$90.00
8. Construction Cost Estimator/Administrator	\$75.00	\$80.00	\$85.00	\$80.00
9. Administrative Assistant/Secretary	\$35.00	\$30.00	\$50.00	\$0.00
10. Draftsman	\$80.00	\$55.00	\$66.00	\$50.00

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS MARCH 29, 2012

	Shelden Architecture	Spangenberg Phillips Tice	Randal Steiner Architect, P.A.	WDM Architecture
1. Project Architect	\$105.00	\$80.00	\$90.00	\$95.00
2. Interior Design	\$90.00	\$92.00	\$90.00	\$70.00
3. Landscape Design	\$90.00	\$100.00	\$65.00	\$80.00
4. Electrical Engineer	\$90.00	\$125.00	\$90.00	\$90.00
5. Mechanical Engineer	\$110.00	\$125.00	\$90.00	\$90.00
6. Structural Engineer	\$110.00	\$100.00	\$130.00	\$120.00
7. Civil Engineer	\$110.00	\$100.00	\$130.00	\$95.00
8. Construction Cost Estimator/Administrator	\$105.00	\$106.00	\$90.00	\$95.00
9. Administrative Assistant/Secretary	\$50.00	\$36.00	\$55.00	\$55.00
10. Draftsman	\$75.00	\$62.00	\$75.00	\$70.00
No Bids				
No Bids	Carter Goble Lee	Young & Associates	Leo A. Daly	Wildcat Construction
	Arnold & O'Sheridan	HMN Architects	Pettit Bullinger Associates	Cathcart Architects, LLC
	PBA Architects			

On the recommendation of Angee Sisco, on behalf of the Facilities Department, Linda Kizzire moved to **accept the proposals from Hanney and Associates Architects and Schaefer Johnson Cox and Frey Architecture and establish contracted hourly rates as identified above for a period of one (1) year with two (2) one-year options to renew.** Tim Kaufman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Services acquired under this contract will include cost estimating, preparation of schematic drawings, bidding assistance and other typical architectural and engineering services for various County projects including ADA upgrades, interior remodel, space planning, etc. Two firms have been recommended for contract award to allow flexibility for the Project Manager to consider experience, availability, cost and depth of staff on smaller projects. For projects with a construction budget projected at greater than \$50,000 but less than \$350,000, each firm will submit a quote and the project will be awarded to the low bidder. Projects greater than \$350,000 will be bid through the traditional process.

A review committee comprised of Steve Claassen and Paula Downs, Facilities and Angee Sisco, Purchasing reviewed each proposal submitted and shortlisted three firms for interview: Hanney and Associates, SJCF, and Krehbiel Architecture. Recommendation considerations included qualifications, experience, depth of staff, previous County or other municipal projects, and various cost factors based on traditional high usage. The two firms selected are small and large in their depth of staff, vary in experience, both with the County and in the private sector, and provided among the lowest hourly rates. SJCF has completed multiple small and large projects for the County in a successful manner. References were contacted for Hanney and Associates, and positive results received.

This service is not currently on contract. Annual spend for the most recent contract year (2010) was \$175,000.00. However, spend amount varies greatly depending on ADA, CIP and other available funding.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS MARCH 29, 2012

**2. UPGRADE OF THE FIRING RANGE - SEDGWICK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
FUNDING - SEDGWICK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND CITY OF WICHITA POLICE
DEPARTMENT**

(Sent to nine vendors)

RFP # 12-0031

Item #	Qty	Description	Advanced Training Systems		Action Target	
			Unit Cost	Extended Cost	Unit Cost	Extended Cost
1	50	Complete new target stands stationary and removal of old stands	\$1,619.50	\$80,975.00	\$1,730.00	\$86,500.00
2	50	Complete new target stands stationary without removal of old stands	\$1,521.50	\$76,075.00	\$1,580.00	\$79,000.00
3	50	Ballistic shields for new targets (optional)	\$234.00	\$11,700.00	\$180.00	\$9,000.00
4	1	Target Software and license		\$995.00		\$1,000.00
5	1	Computer (optional)		\$950.00		\$1,500.00
		Brand	Flexi Pneumatic System		Action Target Deluxe - 90	
		Air Pressure needed	55 psi		Turning Target	
		Warranty	1 year		65 psi	
		Days to install	6 working days		1 year parts & labor	
					8 working days	
No Bids			Troy Acoustics		Meggit Training System	

On the recommendation of Dallas Shaffer, on behalf of the Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office, Sara Jantz moved to **accept the proposal for items #2, #3 and #4 from Action Target in the amount of \$89,000.00**. David Spears seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee comprised of Col. Richard Powell, Lt. David Mattingly, Lt. Mark Pierce and Sgt. Michael McGee - Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office, Lt. Jeff Allen and Cpt. Felicia Norris - Wichita Police Department, and Dallas Shaffer - Purchasing, evaluated both proposals for qualifications, ease of operation and cost of services. Everyone was in agreement that Action Target was the best proposal for the County and City. The expenses will be split with the City of Wichita Police Department.

Our present system was purchased and installed in 1995 by Action Target. The Action Target system uses cardboard targets and has a simple and reliable target clamp mechanism to hold the target. The County already has about one (1) year's supply of the cardboard targets. The ATS (Advanced Training System) system uses a paper target which is held by a wood frame. This would require the Firing Range personnel to cleanup and make the wood frames (or buy them) as they are destroyed.

Question: Has the berm wall been cleaned of the lead from the shells? No, it has not. The last time it was cleaned (just removed) was several years ago, and it was stacked behind the berm wall. The lead has not been cleaned from the dirt.

Question: Are the targets portable or stationary? All of these targets will be stationary and bolted to the concrete slab.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS MARCH 29, 2012

3. ARMORED CAR SERVICES -- TREASURER'S OFFICE

FUNDING - TREASURER'S OFFICE

(Request sent to nine vendors)

RFP #12-0042

	Garda Cash Logistics	Pinkerton Government Services
Armored Car Services for the Treasurer's Office and each of the four Tag Office locations	\$295.00 per location/per month \$1,475.00 per month \$17,700.00 per year	No Bid

On the recommendation of Kimberly Evans, on behalf of the Treasurer's Office, David Spears moved to **accept the proposal from Garda Cash Logistics at the rates listed for three (3) years with five (5) one-year options to renew.** Sara Jantz seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Garda is the current vendor providing these services. These rates represent a 4% increase in contract cost.

Question: Why did we receive only one response? Vendors were contacted to ask why they did not respond. The consistent response was lack of resources to service our needs.