

Sedgwick County

525 North Main Street 3rd Floor
Wichita, KS 67203



*Sedgwick County...
working for you*

Meeting Minutes - Final

Wednesday, September 19, 2012

9:00 AM

BOCC Meeting Room

Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners

Pursuant to Resolution #131-2010, adopted by the Board of County Commissioners on August 11, 2010, members of the public are allowed to address the County Commission for a period of time limited to not more than five minutes.

Anyone who requires an auxiliary aid or service for effective communication, or a modification of policies or procedures to participate in a program, service, or activity of Sedgwick County, should contact the office of Lindsey Mahoney, Sedgwick County ADA Coordinator, 510 N. Main, Suite 306, Wichita, Kansas 67203

Phone: (316) 660-7052, TDD: Kansas Relay at 711 or 800-766-3777

Email: Lmahoney@sedgwick.gov, as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the scheduled event. Please include the name, location, date and time of the service or program, your contact information and the type of aid, service, or policy modification needed.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

The Regular Meeting of the Board of the County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 9:04 a.m. on Wednesday, September 19, 2012, in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman Tim R. Norton, with the following present: Chair Pro Tem James B. Skelton; Commissioner David M. Unruh; Commissioner Karl Peterjohn; Commissioner Richard Ranzau; Mr. Ron Holt, Assistant County Manager; Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor; Mr. David Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works; Ms. Tania Cole, Project Manager, Project Services; Mr. Pete Giroux Management Analyst III, Budget Department; Mr. Jim Weber, Deputy Director, Public Works; Mr. Joe Thomas, Acting Director, Purchasing Department; Ms. Kristi Zukovich, Director, Communications; and Ms. Angela Lovelace, Deputy County Clerk.

GUESTS

*Mr. Brian Frye, Wichita Wagonmasters
Mr. Brad Fitts, Wichita Wagonmasters
Mr. Les Depperschmidt, Director of External Affairs, AT&T Kansas
Ms. Ellen Estes, President, Daughters of the American Revolution Roundtable
Ms. Vicky Churchman, Daughters of the American Revolution
Ms. Karen Oliver, Daughters of the American Revolution*

INVOCATION: Moment of Silence.

FLAG SALUTE

ROLL CALL

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that all Commissioners were present.

Present 5 - Commissioner Karl Peterjohn, Commissioner Richard Ranzau, Chairman Jim Skelton, Chairman Tim Norton and Vice Chair Dave Unruh

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

A [12-0725](#) SPECIAL MEETING OF AUGUST 9, 2012.
All Commissioners were present.

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioners, we have the Special Meeting of August 9th, and a Special Meeting of August 14th. All Commissioners were present on both those meetings. I would accept a motion for both."

MOTION

Commissioner Skelton moved to approve the Special Meeting Minutes of August 9, 2012 and August 14, 2012.

Commissioner Ranzau seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Chairman Norton said, "Next item."

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Minutes be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

B [12-0726](#) SPECIAL MEETING OF AUGUST 14, 2012.
All Commissioners were present.

Item B taken with Item A.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Minutes be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

PROCLAMATIONS

C [12-0676](#) PROCLAMATION DECLARING WICHITA WAGONMASTERS
DOWNTOWN CHILI COOK-OFF DAY.

Read by: Chairman Tim Norton.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Adopt the proclamation.

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioners, I have the following proclamation to read into the record;

WHEREAS, September 29, 2012, marks the Wichita Wagonmasters Downtown Chili Cook-off; and

WHEREAS, this year will be the 29th year of bringing people together to share in the joy of chili; and

WHEREAS, dozens of teams will prepare chili from closely guarded recipes, some passed down from generation to generation and some created the night before; and

WHEREAS, this annual event brings together thousands of hungry chili lovers who will converge on downtown Wichita and line up to get a taste of some of the best chili Kansans have to offer.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that I, Tim Norton, Chairman of the Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners, do hereby proclaim September 29, 2012, as the

'WICHITA WAGONMASTERS DOWNTOWN CHILI COOK-OFF DAY'

and encourage all citizens to participate in this community event.

"Commissioners, you have heard the proclamation, what is the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved to adopt the Proclamation.

Commissioner Peterjohn seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Chairman Norton said, "And with us today, it looks like some real Wagonmasters, and the Brad Fitts, who's the Chili Dipper is going to address us today. But what is with the black hat in the middle of two white hats? You're the bad guy, Brian?"

Mr. Brian Frye, Wichita Wagonmasters, greeted the Commissioners and said,

"Somebody has got to be the bad guy."

Chairman Norton said, "Brad, we'll turn it over to you."

Mr. Brad Fitts, Wichita Wagonmasters, greeted the Commissioners and said, "On behalf of the Wichita Wagonmasters I would like to thank you all for recognizing the chili cook-off with this proclamation. I am the 2012 Chili Dipper. I don't know whether he can tell from up there, but in honor of this occasion, I am sporting a Tim Norton starter kit. A week from Saturday at high noon, 50 chili teams will compete in the high stakes chili cook-off. And when I say high stakes, it is only a slight exaggeration, there will be \$5,000 worth of prize money, and we are the state qualifying event for the world championship to be held in West Virginia the following month."

"So I would encourage all these citizens of the county to come down, to St. Francis and Douglas [Street], taste some great chili, listen to some great music, and with the purchase of your \$5 tasting kit, help the Wagonmasters support local charities. Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "We have others here that would like to, would you like to speak? Before you get away from the podium, I am a real supporter of the downtown chili cook-off. First of all, I am a Wagonmaster and proud to say that I am. And several years ago I was selected to be the potentate of the chili cook-off. That's quite an honor to be able to carry your regalia with you and to help judge and to be part of the festivities. And thousands of people show up on a beautiful fall day to enjoy chili and what's good about Wichita, and to help with scholarship for the Wagonmasters. So, it is a very important day, it adds to the vitality of our downtown which we continue to work on, so we applaud you for the work that you do. We really do."

Commissioner Unruh said, "Thanks."

Mr. Fitts said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Thanks for being here today. Madam Clerk, call the next item."

A motion was made by Commissioner Unruh, seconded by Commissioner Peterjohn, that this Proclamation(s) be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote.

Absent: 1 - Chairman Skelton

Aye: 4 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

D [12-0736](#) PROCLAMATION DECLARING NO TEXT ON BOARD-PLEDGE DAY.
Read by: Chairman Tim Norton.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Adopt the proclamation.

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioners, I have another proclamation to read into the record;

WHEREAS, Sedgwick County, Kansas holds the health and safety of its young adults as a chief concern; and

WHEREAS, text messaging is the main mode of communication for most American teenagers with half of all teens sending between 21 and 70 texts a day; and

WHEREAS, 90 percent of American teenagers expect a reply to a text message within five minutes; and

WHEREAS, when driving, texting takes one's eyes off the road for an average of five seconds; and

WHEREAS, in a national survey, 43 percent of American teenage drivers admitted to texting while driving even though 97 percent admitted knowing it is dangerous; and

WHEREAS, a recent study showed those who send text messages while driving are 23 times more likely to crash; and

WHEREAS, a driver that sends a text message while driving not only jeopardizes his or her safety, but also the safety of passengers, pedestrians and other drivers.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that I, Tim Norton, chairman of the Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners, do hereby proclaim September 19, 2012, as

'NO TEXT ON BOARD PLEDGE DAY'

in Sedgwick County and urge all residents to put down their mobile phones and resist reading and writing texts while driving.

"Commissioners, you have heard the proclamation. What is the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Skelton moved to adopt the Proclamation.

Commissioner Peterjohn seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Commissioner Skelton Aye
Chairman Norton Aye

Chairman Norton said, "And with us today is Les Depperschmidt. Hi, Les."

Mr. Les Depperschmidt, Director of External Affairs, AT&T Kansas, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Mr. Chairman, how are you?"

Chairman Norton said, "I'm good."

Mr. Depperschmidt said, "Commissioners, thank you for adopting the proclamation today. It is a national proclamation across the country. Not only in Kansas, but federal officials have signed a proclamation. And if you noticed on the way to work this morning, perhaps you passed one of the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) electronic signs, they are noting that also today, so we really do appreciate your support of this.

"It is something that we view as dangerous as drinking and driving. We do have a special event coming up on Monday, the 24th that I would like to invite you all to. We have a texting simulator that we equip a vehicle with, and that's going to be in Derby, at the Derby High School at 10:30 on Monday the 24th. So if you can fit that into your busy schedule, we would love to have you come down and witness that. Thank you very much."

Chairman Norton said, "Well, don't run off. Commissioner Skelton has a comment."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Thank you, Mr. Depperschmidt, for coming down today. You know, I got two young daughters, and, you know, that's in the back of my mind always a concern about that. As you had mentioned, and I've read in other places, that texting and driving is just as dangerous as drinking and driving or other forms of impairment in driving. Another concern of mine, I do believe that automobile accidents are the number one cause of teenagers, death of teenagers, and you know a lot of times in investigations, they go back and look at phone records and find that there was texting involved. You know, technology is good, but, you know, we got to learn to use it properly. And certainly this is a new hazard that is created by technology. So we have to learn to use it properly. There is nothing in the world that can't wait 10 minutes. Or pull over and just take care of your business and get back on the road. Those are my comment, Mr. Chairman."

Mr. Depperschmidt said, "Thank you very much."

Chairman Norton said, "Well, I'm very supportive of this. It can wait. And I'm struck by, I go to meetings, and I wonder if people are focusing on the meeting that's really important because they can't wait to get that next text message. And I have granddaughters and grandsons that are all electronically connected, and I worry about socialization sometimes, because we can't get away from our electronic device, and there is a high touch and a high tech, and we all have to stay connected to the high touch in our society.

"So texting is a part of our lives, but it also has some perils to it. And I think that's what you're trying to outline today, that texting and driving just don't go together."

Mr. Depperschmidt said, "Exactly."

Chairman Norton said, "It just doesn't go together. Well, we appreciate you being here today."

Mr. Depperschmidt said, "Very much appreciate your support."

Chairman Norton said, "Thank you."

Mr. Depperschmidt said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Madam chair, next item. Or, Madam Clerk, next item, I'm sorry."

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Peterjohn, that this Proclamation(s) be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

E [12-0703](#) PROCLAMATION DECLARING CONSTITUTION WEEK.
Read by: Chairman Tim Norton.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Adopt the proclamation.

Chairman Norton said, "I have the following proclamation to read into the record Commissioners;

WHEREAS, the Constitution of the United States of America, the guardian of our liberties, embodies the principles of limited government in a Republic dedicated to rule by law; and

WHEREAS, September 17, 2012, marks the 225th anniversary of the framing of the Constitution of the United States of America by the Constitutional Convention; and

WHEREAS, it is fitting and proper to accord official recognition to this magnificent document and its memorable anniversary, and to the patriotic celebrations which will commemorate it; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 915 guarantees the issuing of a proclamation each year by the President of the United States of America designating September 17 through 23 as CONSTITUTION WEEK.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that I, Tim Norton, chairman of the Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners, do hereby proclaim September 17 - 23, 2012, as

'CONSTITUTION WEEK'

in Sedgwick County and ask our citizens to reaffirm the ideals of the Framers of the Constitution by vigilantly protecting the freedoms guaranteed to us through this guardian of our liberties.

"Commissioners, you have heard the proclamation. What is the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Peterjohn moved to adopt the Proclamation.

Commissioner Ranzau seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Chairman Norton said, "And with us today is Ellen Estes, welcome to the podium."

Ms. Ellen Estes, President, Daughters of the American Revolution Roundtable,

greeted the Commissioners and said, "In mid-September, 1787, the U.S. was a nation on the brink. It had won the revolutionary war, but it was losing the peace. The national treasury was virtually empty, and the economy was faltering, while inflation soared, and national state debts went unpaid.

"Against this backdrop the constitution was written. American patriots sacrificed their lives and fortunes for this country. The Daughters of the American Revolution celebrate those American patriots. There are six chapters in the Wichita area: Randolph Loving, Flores Del Sol, Martha Loving Ferrell, Eunice Sterling, [Little] Arkansas and the Wichita Chapter. Again I thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Please introduce everybody that's with you here today."

Ms. Estes said, "Well, I will just have them introduce themselves."

Chairman Norton said, "Okay."

Ms. Vicky Churchman, Daughters of the American Revolution, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Vicky Churchman, from the Eunice Sterling Chapter."

Ms. Karen Oliver, Daughters of the American Revolution, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Karen Oliver, Eunice Sterling Chapter."

Chairman Norton said, "Well, thank you for being here today. The proclamation reaffirms to all of us that the founders many years ago put together a document that's weathered the test of time, that still guides our democracy, and our republic and that is just as pertinent today as it was 225 years ago. And although we have debates about some of the wording and the constitutionality of things, it is part of the process in America to have that debate, but to continue to look to the constitution to answer many of our difficult, democratic questions. So, thanks for being here today. We really appreciate it. Madam Clerk, call the next item."

A motion was made by Commissioner Peterjohn, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Proclamation(s) be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

NEW BUSINESS

F [12-0711](#) USE AGREEMENT FOR AN EVENT ON THE COLEMAN PARKING LOT.

Presented by: Tania Cole, Project Services Program Manager.

Recommended Action: Approve the use agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Ms. Tania Cole, Project Manager, Project Services, greeted the Commissioners and said, "On January 9th of this year, Sedgwick County along with our community partners, the Rotary Club of Wichita and Coleman, opened the Rotary Time Tower/Coleman Parking Lot at 250 North St. Francis. This site is intended to provide additional parking for the Intrust Bank Arena. Today I'm bringing before you a use agreement that allows the Rotary Club of Wichita exclusive use of the Coleman Parking Lot and Rotary Time Tower Plaza for the purpose of hosting Hoopapalooza, an evening fundraiser event on Monday October 1st.

"Hoopapalooza will be a celebration to kick off the Kansas basketball season and proceeds from the event will go to the Wichita Rotary Charitable Fund. This event will require access to the north entrance of the parking lot be closed for setup, breakdown and duration of the event, and will not require any street closings. Rotary Club of Wichita has provided the required certificate of insurance approved by the County Counselor's office. I would be happy to answer any questions and recommend you approve the agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioners, you've heard the presentation, what is the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Skelton moved to approve the use agreement.

Commissioner Unruh seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Chairman Norton said, "And we have another item. Call the next item, Madam Clerk.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Unruh, that this Agreement be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

G [12-0717](#)

A RESOLUTION EXEMPTING THE PARKING LOT LOCATED AT 250 N. ST. FRANCIS, WICHITA, KANSAS FROM THE PROHIBITION IN K.S.A. 47-719 (C) AGAINST CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON PUBLIC PROPERTY.

Presented by: Tania Cole, Project Services Program Manager.

Recommended Action: Adopt the resolution.

Ms. Cole said, "Good morning again, Tania Cole, Project Services Program Manager. This resolution will be an ongoing county resolution which would exempt the Coleman Parking Lot at 250 North St. Francis from the prohibition against consumption of alcoholic beverages on public property. This resolution would allow groups upon entering into an approved written use agreement with Sedgwick County to serve and consume alcoholic beverages. Event holders would still be required to obtain all applicable permits for the sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages in compliance with city and state regulations. With the Hoopapalooza event on October 1st, this group would like the ability to serve and consume alcoholic beverages on the premises for their event. I would be happy to answer any questions, and I would recommend you adopt the resolution."

MOTION

Commissioner Skelton moved to adopt the resolution.

Commissioner Unruh seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Chairman Norton said, "Next item."

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Unruh, that this Resolution be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

H [12-0639](#) AMEND THE 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) TO INCLUDE DESIGN, DRAINAGE SOUTHWEST OF HAYSVILLE
Presented by: Pete Giroux, Principal Analyst, Budget.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the amendment to the CIP.

VISUAL PRESENTATION

Mr. Pete Giroux, Management Analyst III, Budget Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Public works has requested a CIP (Capital Improvement Program) amendment to accomplish the design of phase one of the series of drainage improvements for an area southwest of Haysville.

"This project has got some history behind it. In 2008, Public Works requested, and your predecessors approved, a planning study to determine the most effective and appropriate engineering alternatives for this project. That study is now complete. Here's an outline in red, or pink, outlining the drainage basement. Phase one is represented by the lines that extend from a bit south of 71st Street, down to 87th Street.

"Poor drainage in this area presents a number of challenges. It is currently inhibiting development and, of course, it is detrimental to the road infrastructure, as Mr. Spears would tell you. It is a relatively complex design, and it's got several issues that need to be addressed, and of course there's coordination with both the [United States] Corps of Engineers and the National Resource Conservation Service, formerly the Soil Conservation Service. So there would be a fair amount of work involved in getting this all put together.

"Here's an outline of the overall project, I inadvertently included only the last three phases in the agenda item, but the total is \$2,529,000. The last three phases are being recommended for special assessment, but to be able to do that, we need to first fund the design phase, and be able to do the ground work for the benefit district, and of course the benefit district is needed to bond the improvements.

"Cost of design, \$136,290. We have a modest contingency associated with that, and we propose to fund that, or Public Works requested funding that with the remaining funds in the project to improve drainage along 103rd Street South. And the CIP committee, as well as staff, recommend approval of this project. Do you have any questions?"

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you. Appreciate the clarification. You said this would be paid for by special assessments. How will that special assessments work and when will the payments on this begin?"

Mr. Giroux said, "That would be to be determined, and you will have several opportunities as we go through the later phases, but they would be charged against the various parcels in that area in some form. And I don't want to exceed my limited bond expertise."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Let me ask, because you mentioned the figure of a little over \$2.5 million as the total cost for this. I am trying to understand, I mean, we have some carry over funds from another project that will pick up the price tab for what

we have in front of us this morning. I'm interested in terms of the total cost of the project. I frankly can think of some other CIP projects that are more likely to have a payback and benefit the citizens of this county much sooner than this proposal, which I'm not sure why we, you know, if this isn't going to go out immediately to the property owners to pay back in the form of a special assessment, like they would with a person buying a new home and a new neighborhood, and they start making payments on the special assessments, either by paying them off in full or paying them back over a period of time as part of their property tax bills, trying to understand how this whole process is going to work, Pete. So, if you can give me some comfort and information here, because, it looks like we're just transferring some money within the CIP program to kind of kick this ball off here this morning."

Mr. Giroux said, "This and the predecessor planning phase were both cash funded. I may defer to my little more knowledgeable member of the team here, Jim Weber."

Mr. Jim Weber, Deputy Director, Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Again, Pete is right, the planning phase was cash-funded. This is cash-funded. The future phase is going out to do the special assessments projects, we need to get enough information that we can go out to the residents, or the property owners in the area, with some pretty concrete numbers. What is it going to cost? What is it going to do for you? We'd have to create a benefit district for the special assessment project, so we'll have to figure out what, who benefits, and get a legal description put together for that. And then really, it is a very, can be kind of a long process of working with people to either circulate a petition, and get a majority, and the majority is defined in about three different ways, but get a majority to sign a petition and volunteer for this project. That could include major landowners or developers, it could be small individual house owners, but sign this petition and get a majority put together, which we would then bring back to the County Commission and ask for approval of the benefit district. There may be an option if the Commission thinks there is a threat to the health, welfare and well being to order in a district and create one without any petition or any public input. But I think the issue that we have is, this first phase covers about 1600 acres, it is a pretty large area, and it's really going to be difficult to even go out and work with the public on what that project would look like or how the specials would work out or the period over which they would be paid off, and who is paying, if we really don't have concrete, the kind of concrete information we get out over this design work."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Well, I'm raising these questions because I've got more undeveloped square miles than any other Commissioner in the county, and I've got a whole host of drainage districts and storm water districts all over, and when it comes to, some of these are entities that have struggled in some ways, because some of them, frankly, have become inactive. And whether you want to call them a zombie district or just an inactive district, but you've got others that are struggling to try to raise much smaller amounts of money, and we're just talking about today so that they can leverage it to generate other projects. I've got the Spring Creek [Watershed] group out in my district out in the western part of the county that has been trying to generate money for a drainage project, they are trying to work on out there, and I am concerned about this project coming up at this time and this way without looking at this from a county-wide perspective, and, looking at all our projects, because I can, I know of some projects at Sedgwick County Park that we could spend a lot less than \$2.5 million and get a bigger return on the buck immediately, or we could restore the, with \$2.5 million, we could restore the cold mix program county wide for, I think four, maybe four and a half years."

"And the key I see in front of us is what are the tradeoffs compared to where it is, and if I don't have an answer in terms of when the folks who are going to pick up the tab on this, I mean, how much more is the county, do either of you have any idea how much more money the county will have to provide cash up front, before someone at some future date would begin to pay back something on the special assessments side?"

Mr. Weber said, "I think the answer is we would not be expending any funds after this design contract without getting further direction from the Board of County Commissioners. We would have to create the special assessment district, to create the funding mechanism to go and even buy the right-of-way. Or you would have to alter the CIP to say we're going to do cash for the next phase, or something."

"When the last CIP was put together, it was put together thinking that the right-of-way utility work and the construction on this thing would be bond funded and funded with special assessments, or paid back with special assessments, but there was this gap where we don't have the design, and the desire was to try to do a design with cash so we could get the information to take it forward or not take it forward, based on the better information that we have and the input we get from the public really when we're out working that project."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Well, I know we've done another project in Commissioner Norton's district at I believe it was 103rd [Street] and Hillside [Street]. My understanding of the history is most often these projects have been handled by a benefit district under state law, and whether they are a drainage district, or storm water district, I believe those are separate statutes. I'll let the County Counselor correct me if I am wrong on that point, the people in that area say we have a problem, we want to try and do something, we are going to come together and put together our own district and we do it."

"So here we've got kind of the reverse, we're kind of jumping in and saying, hey, we as a county are going to go in and undertake this project, and I think this is a significant step forward, and I think it is a significant change in how we can operate in the future. Maybe this is a change we should be making. I think it is one that deserves a broader discussion. I am hoping to provide it this morning. So, if we don't have a specific date of when these special assessments will be, if we follow the schedule, this current plan would have us take, what point would we be imposing these special assessments on the property owners in that area? Because I've driven down to that area, and there aren't a lot of, it is mostly either farmland or just kind of raw land down there. There aren't many homes out in, compared to other rural parts of Sedgwick County."

Mr. Weber said, "Typically in a special assessment project you don't make the assessments until you've got the project completed and you know what the final costs are. So in this case, we would be probably four years out before we did the special assessments. If this stayed on track, and the benefit district got created just like that and everything went as we've shown it in the CIP, we are looking four years out before we do the special assessments. And we would be carrying whatever temporary costs we had along the way, until we make those special assessments."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "So when you say carrying, are we going to have to float bond issues to try and get that cash or is it going to come from another source?"

Mr. Weber said, "Typically, well, first of all we have the ability to do temporary notes."

We haven't done those in forever. Typically what the Finance department has done is, they just carry it out of our cash balance until we get to the point where we can do the special assessments."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Skelton."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Appreciate that. Good questions, Karl. Appreciate that. Where is the source of this water? Where is it coming from? I mean, is it run out of the City of Haysville and come down on this guy's land, is that what's going on?"

Mr. Weber said, "Well, let me go back, if I can get back there. Okay, keep me going again, Pete. This is kind of a small scale, because it showed everything in the drainage study. But this is, this is 87th Street right here. So, this is the south line of the phase one of the project. And what you have, you can't really see it, but the big ditch is running right here north of Haysville and up. So basically what this is handling is what falls in the basin, this pinkish area that you see, and collects and tries to run onto the south. And we've got, it is just local run-off. It is not, the Cowskin Creek, something come from somewhere else, it's local..."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Local run-off is defined from which locality? From what local area?"

Mr. Weber said, "From 87th Street, here, and if you follow this line around, roughly, so this is 71st [Street]. So this is two miles from here to here. Comes up, and basically the north end of it, the Haysville School District has some school buildings up here, north of 71st."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Okay, are they in the 100-year flood plain?"

Mr. Weber said, "They probably are not in the 100-year flood plain."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Okay, so they are in the 25-year flood plain, then, right?"

Mr. Weber said, "We don't map..."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Okay, yeah, all right. Okay, well, what we're doing today, the action we are going to take today is just a design to solve the problem. Anytime we have a problem we have to have a design. What is the design period in this going to take?"

Mr. Weber said, "It will be done by next fall."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Next fall, okay. So we got a little over a year. And you know, when we discuss this project, we are not certain that special assessments were going to be the answer to financing. At least that's what I take. There are other options. I thought about this quite a bit. We have a Storm Water Management Board, we proposed making it inactive here last year, that board is supposed to, and maybe we need some leadership over there, or something, it is to prioritize these projects, okay, and to prioritize these county wide. But I know this is a problem. This closes the roads, right?"

Mr. Weber said, "We would have water, uh, yeah."

Commissioner Skelton said, "So that's a public safety hazard. So, you know, I have fixed some creeks in Wichita, and you know what, the water came out of there and ran into private property, too. And we wouldn't dare special assess those people for their protection. So I am not in favor of special assessments when it comes time to decide how to pay for this design, in this project. You know, we have \$280 million, outstanding, something like that, of projects in Sedgwick County. That haven't been done, that are needed. And, yes, up to this point, as Commissioner Peterjohn said, it's been up to the Commission to gather input from our constituents and decide which ones we have to do.

"And I am supportive of drainage. I mean, I have had the experience with significant drainage problems in and around my house, my neighborhood. It is not fun. It's one of the worst things. I don't know which is worse, a tornado or a flood. But, I'm very supportive of moving forward on this design today. I have a lot of concern about the future, when we come time to pay for this, you know, special assessments is the idea, it is what is on the book on how to pay for it. But, I am saying right now we need to look at other funding sources. And I know that's a challenge because of our budget, but the county always has a multi-faceted mission. Flood control is one of them. It's one of our basic governmental services. We need to keep that up at the top of the list.

"So, we're going to have to talk about this and look at other funding options. I know there are other options to fund this besides special assessment. Water comes from somewhere. It is not everybody's fault that it lands on their land. It comes off the road, it blocks the road. I think that we need to justify a greater public benefit here before we use public funds. So there is a long way to go here on this project. There's still unanswered questions. When we go through the design process, we do answer some of these questions. So those are my comments, Mr. Chair."

Chairman Norton said, "Thank you, Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I raised the points and I appreciate Commissioner Skelton's comments. Because, in my district we have a whole host of districts I mentioned earlier, and I've got constituents who are paying a charge per acre in addition to their property taxes to handle their drainage issues in their part of the county. In fact, appreciate the work that my colleagues on the Commission have done to allow, in particular I mention one of the districts by name, Eagle Drainage District, which is in the northwest part of Sedgwick County, and allowing them to expand and organize so that they could cover the entire drainage basin, which does not respect county boundaries and extends into both Reno and Harvey Counties.

"And I point this it out because the other districts that I know of that of that exist that are solely within the boundaries of Sedgwick County, they have their own taxing authority and they even the power of eminent domain, I've been told, and they are expected to provide their own revenues. And if we don't do special assessments, I mean, if we are going to make this a county-wide charge, that's an important policy change that I think deserves extended discussion, and input from all of us.

"I mention this because we've got two different types of districts that I am aware of. We proceed forward here with the county more or less creating a third one. Whether we

go with special assessments or we pay for it out of general county revenues, I want to understand exactly what we're getting into before I am willing to cast a vote on this. So I am seeking more information, and if anyone here can help enlighten me on understanding if we may not do special assessments, if I guess we would pay for it out of general property taxes, if I'm wrong on that, I certainly would like to get clarification from whoever the appropriate person, whether it's one of my colleagues or county staff, or anyone else might be. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Skelton."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Yes, it is my understanding, and I think it was mentioned here, that this funding of this design only at this point was coming from some money where the costs incurred were less than were budgeted. So we do have some leftover money that's paying for this. So, that's my answer, sir."

Mr. Weber said, "And I guess I need to add one other thing. We do like to partner with other communities. And Haysville has indicated that they are willing to participate to some degree in future pieces of this project. I don't know exactly what that number is or what it looks like. But again, that's another thing we can work with them on in the next year, as we go through the design and really kind of look at what it is going to be, and who it benefits and how it works for everybody."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Let me just clarify. You know, my understanding is that this project is not inside the boundaries of the City of Haysville except for maybe 79 or 80 acres; is that correct?"

Mr. Weber said, "Haysville is out in kind of this area right in here, right now. So some of this drainage area is in the City of Haysville. This project itself that's aligning the ditch would be just off to the west side of Haysville. But they understand that there is a benefit to them, there is a pump station down here at 79th Street that they are currently using to drain this area, and they pump it over here to Meridian [Avenue] and dump in our road ditch and it runs this mile down and back over. So there is a lot of interplay between what they've got going on and what we have going on. I am just saying they have indicated some willingness to participate to some level on the later phases, probably the construction phase."

"I should point that out, they actually own an 80-acre parcel right in here, which this project will cross. So that would be a place where we don't expect any problem with getting right-of-way to do that. So, you know, there is a lot of moving pieces and the design would just help us to pin all those down and see who can bring to the table to get the rest of it done."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Let me clarify, when you say to acquire right-of-way, how many acres will we need to acquire as the first phase of right-of-way for this project?"

Mr. Weber said, "I honestly don't know off the top of my head. I think I can find it and get it to you, but it's..."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Is a significant number?"

Mr. Weber said, "It's a significant number. Well, we budgeted \$400,000 in the CIP for it. Which, I am going to guess that puts us in the 30 to 40 acre range total. It's a wide ditch system. It's quite a swath down through there. It's not the big ditch, but it is a flat area and it's pretty hard to work with without getting pretty ditches in there."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Well, how would it compare to, let's say, the canal route ditch or maybe the ditch that, I'm thinking there are a couple of other places in town where you have significant drainage. I'm thinking near 21st [Street] and Maize Road. There's some drainage coming south that crosses 21st Street and goes south."

Mr. Weber said, "I would say that that's probably a little bit wider and maybe deeper than what we're talking about. But we are talking about those kind of, I'm thinking there is a channel that runs along Meridian south of Pawnee [Street], down to 31st Street, maybe a little deeper than that, but that kind of a width, and then room on both sides of it to work, just off the top of my head. Try to get, in the neighborhood."

Chairman Norton said, "Okay. Commissioner Ranzau."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "I am trying to understand the history and how things have worked in the past. Normally on something like this, we create an improvement district and it would be special assessed."

Mr. Weber said, "I wouldn't say that's normal. Let me drop back a little bit on the history of this. We did a study of South Central Sedgwick County back in about 1990, early [19]90s, and because at that time we had a lot of feedback that there were a lot of drainage issues down there. And so, it was actually [inaudible] that did that study for us and we went out and met with the townships and all the towns who had public meetings in it, it was really kind of an effort to try to identify the hotspots really on both sides of the river down there. There were probably 50 areas identified in that, and when that was all said and done there were maybe 15 or 20 that seemed like it made sense to start programming them into the CIP."

"And a number of projects did get programmed into the CIP starting back then. So this this D11 at 103rd [Street] and Broadway [Street] goes clear back to 1990. The study area for that really is just to the east of this project. This project wasn't identified in it, because we really kind of stopped at the top of the Cowskin drainage basin, which is really, literally is there is railroad track in here and this east line. So, this is just off the edge of that. So the project we've been going through so far, we have not done a special assessment project for any of those identified in the early study. Some have dropped off, they didn't make sense. We had three projects on the Cowskin south of Haysville where we have done bypass channels, and we worked with Commissioner Norton on that for quite a while."

"In the end we went in with stream maintenance crews and started working up the Cowskin that way, instead of creating these multi-million dollar bypass channels. So it's been, that was the document that what we worked off of, and we did, I think, a lot of good work and we really focused on the things that needed to be done through that kind of planning. This one, if we started this study in [200]7 or [200]8, it's been six or seven years since we started talking about this particular project. It wasn't in the study, but in working with all the communities, in working with Haysville, they are saying we

really need to look at this area. And so, this has been a long process to get to where we are at today and we are not anywhere done. This is really a continuation of the planning process. The economy has slowed down. What Haysville might have thought was, you know, we need to have done this already, obviously it doesn't need to be done yet today, but we need to be moving towards it.

"And so, we're kind of, the history is, we have not done special assessments. That would be a change if we do it on this project. We've done special assessments for draining inside of subdivisions. You have one that we, you'll see again next week, that Greenwich Plaza, which is a very nice neat compact deal, it has a developer that wants to do it, he signed a petition, it's a 100 percent petition. We know how to do that.

"This is not something that we've done. We've done similar things, we've done large sewer systems where we've had to tackle existing neighborhoods with hundreds of homes in them. So, we know what the process is, but we haven't done it yet for this, and, again, even back when we're doing the sewer projects at four mile creek, we had a full design when we started working with the neighborhood. Really, people want to know what it is going to look like and what's going to happen before they decide whether they sign up or not sign up."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "I guess I'm still a little confused, what have we not done before? Have we not, are the landowners requesting this?"

Mr. Weber said, "Are the landowners? We have heard from some landowners. We have heard quite a bit from the City of Haysville themselves."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "From the City of Haysville."

Mr. Weber said, "But there are landowners around this that have called us repeatedly because of the drainage issues that are down there. What you have is the residential, is really inside of the City of Haysville, and then you have large lot or almost rural stuff around that. And so there aren't, outside of the Haysville city limits, there probably aren't, you know, a dozen homes down there that are, that we would expect to hear from."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "Do we normally do projects like this for just areas that don't have current problems or aren't being developed, I guess?"

Mr. Weber said, "Well, we don't do projects where there aren't problems. There are problems here. They exist today."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "Well, I understand. But you are not impacting the subdivision or housing where people are having their houses flooded."

Mr. Weber said, "There are impacts on the road system. The houses aren't flooding because Haysville did go in and build a pump station to take care of the problem. But they've moved their problem over to our road system on Meridian, because they have got a pump station that would do 2,000 gallons a minute. And when they turn that thing on, it pumps straight east a half mile, goes into the Meridian ditch and basically, we don't flood the road on Meridian, but we flood out the whole ditch, it goes down and crosses back in where this is trying to go."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "But we're doing this, I thought the reason we were doing this was for economic development. I guess I'm confused. I thought that, would normally be an improvement districts for that sort of thing when it was going to be developed and it should be special assessed. And I, I have been having a hard time getting a final answer on how this is going to be paid for. I don't support just using cash for the ongoing, for the rest of the project.

I think it needs to be assessed against the landowners if it will be developed. If the City of Haysville wants to be involved that's fine, and if they are pushing that, I would especially hope they would participate in this. But, you know, I support our participation in these drainage things, because I have them in my area, too. I don't know. I guess I need more information. We need to take a look at our policy to get a better idea of when we do and when we don't use cash to fund these.

"I am not convinced this is an appropriate project to use cash. I am supportive of doing the study and getting it done. But, if this is just going to open up the door for us to pay for in the future, I guess I would like to have some assurances that it would be special assessed, unless we adopt a policy that's clear and specific on how to address these issues. Without that assurance, I don't think we should go forward. At the very least I think we should consider tabling this until we have get some more information. I just have some concerns with what is going to happen down the road with this, and I am just expressing that."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Unruh."

Commissioner Unruh said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My input in the discussion, first of all, is that I can be supportive of this design phase the way that it's planned. But it clearly says on our backup that finance recommended later phases of the project be financed with special assessments. So we have that recommendation. Furthermore, at the budget time when we were considering our CIP program going forward, I asked about this, and whoever was at the podium said that's the plan to do a special assessment, and Commissioner Norton also agreed that was the plan going forward and shook his head. So, I think it will be a decision of the BoCC (Board of County Commissioners) as this plan matures and we get to that decision point, but I'm assuming that a benefit to a specific district or specific reason would be a special assessment and that's the information I've had. So, I'm assuming that's what we'll decide at that time. But I'm supportive of this design phase as part of the plan going forward. That's all I have, Mr. Chair."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Skelton."

Commissioner Skelton said, "I think that's an important point, Dave. You know, I guess one way to do that would be for me to make a motion that that language would be struck from the backup material. Because my opinion also, I have concerns about special assessments. There could be a lot of different funding mechanisms come into play. I don't know how everybody feels about that, but I know I could make, I'll move that we strike that language from the staff report."

Chairman Norton said, "I don't know that we need to, I think I would like to comment before we..."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Okay."

Chairman Norton said, "...go any further."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Okay, fair enough."

Chairman Norton said, "If that's okay with you, Jim."

Commissioner Skelton said, "Yes sir, yes sir."

Chairman Norton said, "I have to tell you, I started working on this project, in this area when I was the Mayor of Haysville, so this is, you know, my quote today was 'nothing valuable can be lost by taking time'. I have been pretty patient.

"We've worked on this, a lot of this came from the basin studies, and I don't know how many basins there were in that study, but there was quite a few of them along the south side from the other side of Derby, and their Spring Creek area, all the way through the Ark[ansas] River basin, south of Haysville, over to Meridian, and it kind of stopped. But it all came together. When I became a Commissioner, it struck me that we had a plethora of drainage studies and basin studies sitting on shelves and we'd never done anything with it. We kept studying it, looking at it, saying it's a problem, wringing our hands. I said, part of what I thought I heard from my constituents and many around the county is that we needed to take these studies seriously if we were going to pay for them, let's start doing some of the projects.

"I feel good that I've tried to cherry pick and get the important ones done in my district. That's not county wide, but I would be supportive. I've often said that I believe that drainage is an infrastructure, just like roads and bridges. In fact, do we always make somebody pay for an arterial road that we widen to five lanes? Is that assessed by the people that just live on that road? Or is that covered county wide? Well, it is covered county wide. We think it is important infrastructure. In some cases these large basin areas, not a small area that's going to be disciplined to help only the people that drive into that neighborhood, but these large basins studies are no different than an arterial street or a main road you are trying to fix to aid in safety, aid in economic development. But to do the things that we do as county government. So, we started on that project.

"The truth is, this is a 30-year solution, or a 50-year solution as we think about the growth of certain areas in our community. That particular area running along Meridian was one of the fastest growing areas in the state. It actually moved Haysville into the top 10 of the fastest growing communities. I think Goddard was in it for several years, but so was Haysville, and it was because rooftops were growing exponentially along that area. And then as we did more work on this basin, we understood that there was problems moving south along Meridian. Right now we are doing a Meridian corridor study. In fact I think I have got a meeting maybe tomorrow night, not sure when it's coming up again. But we're going to discuss what the infrastructure looks like for Meridian going south of Haysville. Does it need to be improved as far as the infrastructure on roads and a couple of bridges? And drainage figures into all of that. As you design that roadbed, you better have the drainage and infrastructure fixed, too, or you haven't fixed the whole program. Because drainage, many times, layers over with what you're doing with the infrastructure for roads and bridges.

"As we move forward, I think this is one of those basins you want to be very critical of. I've got a couple more over around the Ark River that we're worrying with, with the quad cities plan. All of that is a long-term viewpoint of what that area needs, how you're

going to develop it, what is the safety, how do you mitigate the flooding. I think we postpone two or three projects over towards the river to get the 103rd and Hillside area fixed. Because if you didn't take care of that first, those other projects didn't matter. All you were going to do is move the water down to that area and fix them. So this, I think, is an important project.

"Now, how we eventually pay for the funding to do the whole thing to make sure that basin is taken care of, that growth can happen, that it doesn't affect any improvements we do to Meridian, to me is not the whole issue today. Today is move ahead on this planning and design and figure out what we want to do. I've heard from several large property owners there that they would like to develop it at some point in time. It will never be developed if the drainage and the roads and all aren't in orchestration with each other. And they are willing to participate. But what does that look like today?

"I don't know that we can tell them until we get through those final phases. Obviously I am supportive of this. I understand my colleagues' consternation in some cases, but we had a Storm Water Management Advisory Board that we put together because of some funding and some other things, we kind of disbanded them, or put them, let the flywheel spin on what they are doing. But I think they would tell you, based on what the work they've done is you have to start picking off these projects sometimes.

Commissioner Skelton is right; I think there is like a \$235 million price tag on that.

"Well, we are not going to swallow that at one time. I don't think we're coming up with \$235 million, put it in a CIP, and say, okay, let's move forward doing it. I think we, as a group, find these kind of projects, say that's a slice of it, let's get that done and then move to the next one. And I will be supportive if there are some somewhere else. I just happen to be the guy that's been the most aggressive on drainage. And I can tell you why. Everything that starts in your district, Commissioner Ranzau, and Commissioner Peterjohn, ends up in my district."

Commissioner Skelton said, "And my district."

Chairman Norton said, "And Jim's district. I can tell you where water runs in our community. So I deal with a lot of it. Fortunately, we have the Big Ditch [Wichita-Valley Center Flood Control Project], so a lot of it runs through the Big Ditch. A lot of it runs through the Ark River, a lot of it runs through the Cowskin Creek. And I'm proud to say that instead of doing three major drainage projects on the south side, we went in with a really good stream maintenance guy, started at the Sumner County line, and cleaned out that channel all the way up to the Haysville city limits. All have got nothing but great comments from the landowners, from the people that live down there, that it is taken care of. It looks better, it is taking care of the drainage problems. I think we offset spending, what, \$5 or \$6 million for channelization, kind of a diversion channel through farmer's fields to get it back into the Cowskin somewhere else. So it is not that I want to willy-nilly do drainage projects. I've worked hard to find alternate solutions, too, to not spending the big money. This is one that has profound effects on growth, for one of our third largest cities. And how we eventually figure out how to fund it, we'll move that along later. Right now it says we'll do it by special assessment. And I have no reason to believe that's not how we are going to do it. But that's a decision that will really be made three or four or five years from now, as we move this along.

"Truthfully, most of these basin projects have taken a long time. The fastest one we got done, I think, was the 103rd and Hillside. But we had already started designing Bluff [Creek] and several other road drainage projects down there, and kind of shifted our gears a little bit to get that one done. But some of them take many, many, many

years, and a lot of studying and understanding of what we do. I am going to be supportive of this today. I think it is good public policy. I think the idea that we take serious drainage issues around the county as infrastructure is critically important to the growth of our community.

“And truthfully, we want our second-class cities to have the ability to grow. It adds to the property tax base, it helps build new housing stock, it grows our economy, it puts people to work with rooftops, and you know, we've all had the issue recently of how do we help with construction getting roof tops started to be built. And it is not government's work to build the homes, but it is certainly government work to be sure that there's infrastructure and good thought processes of how we help that industry. So, I'm going to be supportive of this on a lot of different reasons and not just because it is in my district. Commissioner Peterjohn.”

Commissioner Peterjohn said, “Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your comments, and your insight in terms of where we are. My discomfort level that I have with this item before me is I don't have the problems that Commissioner Skelton has in terms of the special assessments. Mine is kind of the reverse side of that coin in that there is no special assessment specifically in place, it is not like, as Mr. Weber pointed out, the traditional way that we would impose special assessments. This is going to be at some indefinite point in the future, and since the City of Haysville is saying we are going to try and help, but we are not in the position to say exactly when and exactly how much. That increases the uncertainty, and in a sense with that increased uncertainty, there is the risk that we can spend a good deal of the county's general tax funds on this project, because, as I can see it, there is no other source for county funding at this point, property tax revenues, to provide a starting point for this program.

“And when we have a multi-year program, and you are absolutely correct, Mr. Chairman, when you say that these take a long time to take place, if the county is going to be allocating a significant amount of money, and I view a little over \$2.5 million as a significant amount, and we don't have a firm plan in place in terms of when those special assessments would be imposed, and the starting point in terms of when those would be paid back, in other words, we will be the cash cow providing the capital for this project up front. And that becomes just what I view as the old stone soup. And I've mentioned that in the past. There is a famous book about soldiers and the stone soup being made, that you start the ball rolling and then you say we've already spent so much money that's been sunk into this project with these costs we need to continue. And of course, pretty soon you've got the whole project to the end, but if we don't have a firm commitment, in terms of, if there is another party of parties.

“Most of the times these districts that exist in my area, like Eagle Drainage, the people in that area have requested it. And we've had some general discussion that there is interest on the part of people in this area, they will be the beneficiaries if this drainage comes in, and the improvements that that would occur, the value of the property will go up significantly from just being farm property, even though it will continue to be assessed for tax purposes as farm property. And so I'm trying to understand, do we really have our ducks lined up at the moment? And at the moment, I am struggling with that fact that I don't think we do. We have an awful lot of question marks and semi commitments. They are willing to help at some point, an unspecified amount at some unspecified point in the future. These are the things that I would hope that we would have tied down before we go ahead and approve this today.

"And I want to have a thorough discussion in the sense that if we have money left over from successful capital improvement projects that didn't come in as successfully, is this absolutely the number one project that we can save or use taxpayers money, or inversely, return it back to the taxpayers, is this the absolutely best highest priority spending in terms of revising the 2012 CIP. And I'm struggling with it, I don't think it is. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Ranzau."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "If we created a benefit district now to assess to the farmers right now, I suspect that would be, they would have to request that benefit district. I suspect the cost would be too large. It wouldn't be beneficial to do them to do that. Is that correct? Would that be your assessment?"

Mr. Weber said, "I wouldn't want to put my judgment in place of what a particular property owner would think about the value of a project."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "We are talking a lot of money per acre. I guess what I am getting at is, you know, we had a meeting, myself and Commissioner Norton and I think Rich was there and our bond counsel, about being able to do some projects like this and then assessing the specials to future development that would benefit from that. We can do that under state law, but we don't currently have a policy to do that. I think we need to go forward and at least create that policy so that we have that.

"I believe, ultimately, the cost needs to be special assessed, because I think it is going to be developed. Just like if I built some land somewhere else, and I had a drainage problem, I would have to incur that as part of my costs or whatever, or the county would do it and we would assess it to the homeowner. What we're trying to do here is help alleviate that problem before the development I occurs. And I understand the argument that you need to get a cost estimate so that you can tell people this is how much it will cost, because I'm faced with that same issue. But ultimately once you make that decision, we need to have a mechanism to make sure that it can be assessed to future development. Because I don't think it would be cost effective, I don't think that the farmer would want to pay the cost it is going to take, assessed per acre. Correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Euson, we don't currently have a policy in place that will allow us to assess this to future developments. But we do have the authority to create such a policy under state statute, is my understanding."

Mr. Richard Euson, County Counselor, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Yes, that's correct."

Commissioner Ranzau said, "So I am going to be supportive of this action today, but I won't be supportive of paying it out of general funds in the future, and I do recommend that we consider going forward and creating a policy that would allow us to assess to future developments. That's my comment."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Skelton."

Commissioner Skelton said, "You know, I really appreciate this discussion. You know, using special assessment is a double-edged sword, because, you know, there are various factors out there that cause flooding, and, you know, you have, for example, much of my experience is in this example, is that you have an older neighborhood, it's been there for 50 years. The people have never flooded. They go up and build

northeast Wichita. They build retention ponds so it rains three or four days. These retention ponds are full. So everything is thrown into the creek, and then you start having flooding in an established neighborhood that didn't have it before. That ain't their fault. And I think that is a case we should carefully examine where people are victimized by flooding. And there is a lot of it. And the people that are victims of flooding, because of inadequate infrastructure, inadequate storm water planning, it would be unjust to have a special assessment situation. So we have to evaluate this on a few different levels, I think, so those are my comments. Thank you, sir."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Jim, let me ask you this question. The figures that Pete provided, the cost on this, total cost over a number of years is a little bit over \$2.5 million. And the area for this first phase that we're talking about is roughly 1,600 acres, correct?"

Mr. Weber said, "Right."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Are those two numbers correct? So if I divide \$2.5 million by 1,600, I get a figure of about \$1,575 per acre. So if we were imposing special assessments on a per acre basis, of course those could be stretched out over time, but of course then you would have to pick up the interests costs. But that's my ballpark cost in terms of a per acre figure. Is that sound reasonable to you?"

Mr. Weber said, "Yes."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Okay. I see no other lights. This is in my area. I normally don't make motions."

MOTION

Chairman Norton moved to amend the 2012 Capital Improvement Program to include design drainage southwest of Haysville.

Commissioner Skelton seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Chairman Norton said, "Next item."

A motion was made by Chairman Norton, seconded by Vice Chair Skelton, that this Amendments to the CIP be Approved. The motion carried by the following

vote.

No: 1 - Commissioner Peterjohn

Aye: 4 - Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

- I [12-0712](#) APPROVAL OF A CONTRACT WITH PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS FOR DESIGN OF PHASE 1 OF A DRAINAGE PROJECT TO SERVE THE AREA WEST OF MERIDIAN BETWEEN 71ST ST. SOUTH AND 87TH ST. SOUTH KNOWN AS CIP PROJECT D-21. (DISTRICT 2)
Presented by: James Weber, P.E., Deputy Director of Public Works.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the contract and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Mr. Jim Weber said, "We've had quite a robust discussion about this, really, as part of Item H. But in Item I we are requesting approval of a contract with Professional Engineering Consultants (PEC) for designing of the phase one of CIP project D-21. Just to remind everybody, phase one starts up about 71st Street on the west side of Meridian, runs down to 87th Street. Phase two is probably ten years out or more. Phase three is probably 20 to 30 years out or more. The strategy that we're using here was developed by PEC during a performance of a drainage study for this entire area you see on the map. It has been, the study was reviewed by the county staff and by the City of Haysville prior to the development of the scope of services for this design project.

"The CIP amendment that you just approved authorized funding for this work through a transfer of leftover construction funds from project D-11, which is the project at 103rd and Hillside. This contract is in the amount of \$136,920. And the 2013 to 2017 CIP, does indicate that future project activity, such as right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation and construction, are to be funded with bonds to be paid off by special assessments. And we recommend that you approve the contract, and authorize the Chairman to sign it, and I would be happy to answer any questions that you might have."

Chairman Norton said, "Any questions of Jim? You've heard the presentation. I will, oh, Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Jim, do you have any idea, since this is part of a bigger plan, how we make sure that since there is a long time period between phase one and phase two, that we've got, you've got this gap in the plans, and we basically get to a point where if we complete phase one and you get to phase two down the line, this plan can fit in with what's going on with phase two. And when you've got this time differential that's going be significant."

Mr. Weber said, "I think that's the real value of the study that we've already done. We do have full reference, for future reference, a study of that entire basin, and when the time comes to look hard at phase two, you know, we're going to go back to the shelf and we're going get the study down, get the phase one design down, and it will probably be appropriate depending on when this happens, how far out it is, to do a quick review of everything that happened. Did it go as we had planned in the original study, or the design for phase one, kind of review all of that information, and that all informs what you do in phase two.

"We typically have these kind of drainage studies. I have drainage studies in my office that are 30 years old that we still have at hand and ready to go. Things change, but it

is always a good baseline to go back to. We are in the process, we developed, are in the process of developing enough information down here that, you know, I don't think we'll have any problems with continuity when the time comes to go out. And Haysville has got all of this information, you know, at that point in time it would be Haysville that takes the lead on doing phase two. We may be out of it at that point."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, Well I guess the question I would have, is there any problem in terms of if you get company A that's the winning bidder to do this, and we get down in the future and company A is, for whatever reason, no longer available, or..."

Mr. Weber said, "No."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Somebody else can jump in. There is not a problem?"

Mr. Weber said, "There is not typically a problem. We have that happen frequently where we do one thing with a particular consultant, and they may have different ideas, but they are always, and if we're involved we will make sure we always go back and look at what's been done in the past to try to make sure that if we move away from that, that what we're moving to makes sense."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Let me ask you if there's a, since this would be tied in to our previous motion, what happens if there's an overage in some way? Will you have to come back to us to request a supplemental, if for some reason this comes in above what we just approved?"

Mr. Weber said, "The CIP amendment was for \$145,000. This is for a little less than \$137,000, and the current policy would let us administratively process a change order for a certain percentage. The percentage we could process is higher than going up to \$145,000, but we could not go past \$145,000 without coming back and amending the CIP."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "I see no other lights."

MOTION

Chairman Norton moved to approve the contract and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Skelton seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Mr. Weber said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Thank you, Jim. Next item."

A motion was made by Chairman Norton, seconded by Vice Chair Skelton, that this Contract be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

J [12-0714](#) RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CLOSING OF 24TH ST. NORTH AT A POINT A HALF MILE EAST OF 143RD ST. EAST (DISTRICT 1).
Presented by: David C. Spears, P.E., Director of Public Works/County Engineer.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the resolution and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Mr. David Spears, Director, Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, "In Item J, we are requesting your approval of a resolution authorizing the permanent closure of 24th Street North at a point a half mile east of 143rd Street East. 24th Street North from 143rd Street East to a point a half a mile east of 143rd Street East was originally constructed as a suburban standard gravel cul-de-sac, serving adjacent lots that are 5 to 10 acres in size. At the time of construction, it was expected to serve no more than 15 homes. When Monarch Landing was platted within an island annexation to the corporate boundaries of Wichita, the internal street system was connected to the east end of 24th Street.

"Monarch Landing is also connected to 21st Street North and 159th Street East. Monarch Landing was platted for urban density residential homes with paved streets. The gravel portion of 24th [Street] quickly became a popular shortcut for contractors and residents of Monarch Landing. For several years the county residents along 24th and the Minneha Township officials have struggled with deterioration of the gravel road from the high traffic volumes. They have noted safety issues from excessive speeds and washboarding. Traffic counts taken in the area show that there is a significant traffic volume that is passing through the area to access Monarch Landing.

"High density residential development in the City of Wichita also exists on the west side of 143rd Street East, and it is believed that a number of residents in that area are cutting through to access the school located on 21st Street North within Monarch Landing. Residents adjacent to the gravel road, as well as some of the residents in Monarch Landing, and the Minneha Township officials requested that the connection to Monarch Landing be closed. Public Works studied the traffic patterns in the area, the condition of the road, and the proximity of other access points serving the area.

"In July, Public Works temporarily closed 24th Street North at the west line of Monarch Landing, which is the Wichita city limit. We received some complaints from residents in Monarch Landing immediately after the closure in July, but have not heard any complaints in the last 60 days. Public Works staff recommends permanent closure of the street and the County Counselor's office as has prepared a resolution for approval by the Board. We recommend that you approve the resolution and authorize the Chairman to sign."

Chairman Norton said, "I see no, I have one. Dave, that was originally designed to be a closed cul-de-sac, and then it just happened to open back up when they did an island annexation on the far east side of that area, is that correct?"

Mr. Spears said, "That's correct."

Chairman Norton said, "Okay. So we're really just, any action we might take would just take it back to what the original concept was for that area."

Mr. Spears said, "Correct."

Chairman Norton said, "Okay. I see no other lights. I would entertain a motion."

MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved to approve the resolution and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Skelton seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Mr. Spears said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Thanks, Dave. Next item."

A motion was made by Commissioner Unruh, seconded by Vice Chair Skelton, that this Resolution be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

K [12-0742](#)

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' REGULAR MEETING ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012.

Presented by: Joe Thomas, Acting Director, Purchasing Department.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

Mr. Joe Thomas, Director, Purchasing, greeted the Commissioners and said, "The meeting of the Board and Bids and Contracts of September 13th results in five items for consideration this morning. Item 1;

1. CHANGE ORDER #3: CUSTODIAL SERVICES FOR THE DIVISION OF INFORMATION AND OPERATIONS -- FACILITIES DEPARTMENT FUNDING - BUILDING MAINTENANCE

Recommendation is to accept the change order to extend the contract for one additional year with SBM Management Services for an annual total of \$318,847.20 and establish an emergency hourly rate. Item 2;

2. CHANGE ORDER # 1: CUSTODIAL SERVICES -- COMCARE FUNDING --COMCARE

Recommendation is to accept the change order to extend the current contract for one additional year at revised lower pricing with EH Technical Solutions for an annual total of \$67,372.92 and establish an emergency hourly rate. Item 3;

3. CHANGE ORDER #1: CUSTODIAL SERVICES FOR FORENSIC SCIENCE CENTER -- FORENSIC SCIENCE CENTER FUNDING -- FACILITIES DEPARTMENT

Recommendation is to accept the change order to extend the contract for one additional year with HHM Facility Management for an annual total of \$ 26,100.00, and establish an emergency hourly rate. Item 4;

4. CHANGE ORDER #1: CUSTODIAL SERVICES FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT -- HEALTH DEPARTMENT FUNDING - HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Recommendation is to accept the change order to extend the contract for one additional year with EH Technical Solutions for an estimated annual total of \$14,500.00 and establish an emergency hourly rate. Item 5;

5. CHANGE ORDER #1: CUSTODIAL SERVICES -- EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES & FLEET MANAGEMENT FUNDING -- EMS/FLEET MANAGEMENT

Recommendation is to accept the change order to extend the contract for one additional year with EH Technical Solutions for an estimated annual total of \$16,148.36 and establish an emergency hourly rate.

"I will be happy to answer questions, and I recommend approval of these items."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioners, you heard the report of the Board of Bids and Contracts, what is the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Unruh moved to approve the recommendation of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

Commissioner Skelton seconded the motion.

Chairman Norton said, "I have a motion and second. Any discussion? Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Yes, I would appreciate, since this is a revision, it looks like all five items are revisions of existing custodial contracts. Just a little bit of additional discussion, in terms of, if you foresee, Joe, any other situations where we would have a revision on existing contracts coming before us in the near future."

Mr. Thomas said, "This is a special situation as the background notes provide with the changes in staff. We don't anticipate that we will have one similar to this, but the charter does provide us the opportunity, so for these extenuating circumstances to have an extension of the contract time, we were able to do it in these cases."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Does this cover all the custodial contracts the county has?"

Mr. Thomas said, "I don't think this is all of the custodial contracts. These are the ones that are coming, expiration is coming up soon."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Okay, thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Unruh,"

Commissioner Unruh said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Joe, these were brought to us a week or two ago, and you pulled them..."

Mr. Thomas said, "Yes, sir."

Commissioner Unruh said, "...and reworked these things and each one of these passed unanimously."

Mr. Thomas said, "Yes, sir."

Commissioner Unruh said, "Okay. So it appears they've been thoroughly vetted."

Mr. Thomas said, "Yes, they have."

Commissioner Unruh said, "All right, thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "I see no other lights. We have a motion on the floor. Madam Clerk, call the vote."

VOTE

Commissioner Unruh Aye
Commissioner Peterjohn Aye
Commissioner Ranzau Aye
Commissioner Skelton Aye
Chairman Norton Aye

Mr. Thomas said, "Thank you."

Chairman Norton said, "Next item."

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Resolution be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

CONSENT

L [12-0626](#) Amend the 2012 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to close two facility projects.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Consent be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

M **12-0710** Adopt a resolution authorizing the execution and delivery of all necessary documents relating to the sale of the securities acquired with the proceeds of the Sedgwick County, Kansas and Shawnee County, Kansas Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bond (Mortgage-back Securities Program), 2002 Series B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4 and B-5; authorizing the execution and delivery of instructions relating to the defeasance and redemption of such bonds; and authorizing the officers, employees and representative of Sedgwick County, Kansas, to do and perform all things necessary, appropriate and incidental thereto under the authority of the Act.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Consent be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

N [11-1268](#) Payroll Check Register.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Consent be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Abstain: 1 - Chairman Norton

Aye: 3 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau and Chairman Skelton

Present: 1 - Vice Chair Unruh

O [11-1314](#) General Bill Check Register.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Consent be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

P **12-0720** Order dated 09/06/2012 to correct tax roll for change of assessment.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Consent be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

Q [12-0715](#)

A resolution adopting revised policies regarding investments, county credit cards and petty cash funds.

Mr. Ron Holt, Assistant County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, "You have the consent agenda Items L through Q. Item Q, a resolution adopting revised policies regarding investments, county credit cards and petty cash funds, we would recommend that you adopt the, or defer that item. As you recall we are having discussions with some of the elected official about some of these policies, and the Deputy Chief Financial Officer has not been able, due to a couple of those, elected have been out of town, to complete those discussions. So, we ask to defer that item for one week. And adopt the remaining consent agenda items."

Chairman Norton said, "Commissioner Skelton."

MOTION

Commissioner Skelton moved to adopt Item L through Item P of the Consent Agenda, and defer Item Q.

Commissioner Ranzau seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

<i>Commissioner Unruh</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Peterjohn</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Ranzau</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Commissioner Skelton</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Chairman Norton</i>	<i>Aye</i>

A motion was made by Vice Chair Skelton, seconded by Commissioner Ranzau, that this Consent be Deferred. The motion carried by the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Peterjohn, Commissioner Ranzau, Chairman Skelton, Chairman Norton and Vice Chair Unruh

Present: 0

OTHER

Chairman Norton said, "Okay. Anything else to come before us today, Commissioners? Commissioner Peterjohn."

Commissioner Peterjohn said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Couple of points, some more pleasant, light-hearted than others. This weekend, good folks out in Colwich, City of Colwich in my district will be having their community festival, and they are having a parade Saturday morning, and I hope the weather is as good today as it's been like yesterday, and I think today will be for their celebration. And look forward to seeing folks out in that part of Sedgwick County this weekend."

"Also wanted to mention, follow up a little bit, Constitution Day that we passed the resolution on early at the beginning of this meeting, was Monday, September 17th, which is the actual anniversary date. That's significant, I think, in a variety of ways, because not only the constitution is kind of the foundation for our country, but also a bulwark for our liberties and our freedoms that we hold so dear. I mention that because on a personal note, this hasn't gotten much media attention, although it did get enough that I found out about it. Tom Kennedy returned to West Point. Actually, there is more to it than that. Major Kennedy was buried at West Point, he is the 90th member of the U.S. Military Academy. Of course, there are a lot of others from the other academies who have also given their lives defending their freedom, and I mention this because it is a significant milestone that 90 members of the United States Military Academy since September 11th, 2001, have given their lives, and Major Kennedy's passing in Afghanistan, I think, deserves an announcement. So I wanted to pass that along in light of our resolution we passed at the beginning of this meeting, and the fact that this is the week for Constitution Week."

"And on a routine note, I will add that a number of folks in detention at the Sedgwick County Jail, this week we've got 1,043 this morning, in the main jail facility, 123 at work release, and 250 out of county, for a grand total of 1,416 when you include the folks who are housed in booking. And Sheriff Hinshaw has broken those down on a security classification, and they've added some new groups. This will be more involved than what I normally provide, but I think it is significant. He's got 430 in maximum security, special housing category they have, he's got 66, protective custody, 31, if you added those together, I think that would fall into what had been the maximum security previously. Medium security there were 548, minimum security, 289, and the rest of them are unclassified. Thank you, Mr. Chair."

Chairman Norton said, "Okay. I see no other lights. So at this point, if there's nothing else, I will adjourn the meeting of the Board of County Commissioners."

ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 10:29 a.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS

TIM R. NORTON, Chairman
Second District

DAVID M. UNRUH, Commissioner
First District

KARL PETERJOHN, Commissioner
Third District

RICHARD RANZAU, Commissioner
Fourth District

JAMES B. SKELTON, Commissioner
Fifth District

ATTEST:

Kelly B. Arnold, County Clerk

APPROVED:
